arXiv:1411.7824v1 [math.QA] 28 Nov 2014

QUANTIZED COORDINATE RINGS, PBW-TYPE BASES AND q-BOSON ALGEBRAS

YOSHIHISA SAITO

Abstract. In [KOY], Kuniba, Okado and Yamada proved that the transition matrix of PBW-type bases of the positive-half of the quantized universal enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ coincides with a matrix coefficients of the intertwiner between certain irreducible modules over the quantized coordinate ring $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$ introduced by Soibelman [S]. In the present article, we give a new proof of their result, by using representation theory of the q-boson algebra, and the Drinfeld paring of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$.

1. Introduction

1.1. For a simple algebraic group G over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, let $A(G)$ be the coordinate ring of G, and $U(\mathfrak{g})$ the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Each of them have the canonical Hopf algebra structure, and they are dual to each other.

The quantized universal enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ was introduced by Drinfeld and Jimbo in the middle of 1980's. As well-known, it a Hopf algebra, and its representation theory is quite similar as one of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. The quantized coordinate ring is defined to be the Hopf algebra dual to $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Following Kashiwara [K1], we denote it $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Representation theory of $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$ was first developed by Vaksman and Soibelman [VS] in the case of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$. In that paper, they constructed an infinite-dimensional irreducible $A_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module $\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathbb{Q}(q)|m\rangle$ which has a basis parametrized by the set of nonnegative integers. In the present article, we call it *the Fock module.* This result tells us that representation theory of the quantized coordinate ring $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is not parallel to one of the original coordinate ring $A(G)$. Indeed, since $A(G)$ is a commutative ring, all irreducible modules are of dimension one.

After that, Soibelman [S] constructed a certain family of infinite-dimansional irreducible $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules for an arbitrary $\mathfrak{g}$. as follows. Let $\mathfrak{sl}_{2,i}$ be the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple in $\mathfrak{g}$ associated with an index $i \in I$. Then, it is known that there is the canonical algebra homomorphism form $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$ to the quantized coordinate ring $A_{q_i}(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,i})$ of $\mathfrak{sl}_{2,i}$. Let W be the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$. For a reduced expression of an element $w = s_{i_1}\cdots s_{i_l} \in W$, consider a tensor product $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}} := \mathcal{F}_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{i_l}$ labeled by $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \cdots, i_l)$. Here, for each $1 \leq k \leq l$, $\mathcal{F}_{i_k}$ is the Fock module over the quantized coordinate ring $A_{q_{i_k}}(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,i_k})$ of the k-th $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple $\mathfrak{sl}_{2,i_k}$. By the algebra homomorphism $A_q(\mathfrak{g}) \to A_{q_{i_k}}(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,i_k})$, each $\mathcal{F}_{i_k}$ is regarded as an $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module. Therefore, so $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is. Furthermore, he proved that the following theorem in [S] .

Theorem 1.1.1 ([S])**.** (1) *For every reduced word* $\mathbf{i}$*,* $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$ *is an irreducible* $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$*-module.* (2) *Let* $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \cdots, i_l)$ *and* $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \cdots, j_m)$ *be two reduced words. Then* $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{j}}$ *if and only if* $l = m$ *and* $s_{i_1}\cdots s_{i_l} = s_{j_1}\cdots s_{j_l} \in W$*.*

1.2. Recently, Kuniba, Okado and Yamada [KOY] made a new bridge between $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$. We will explain their results briefly. Let w_0 be the longest element of W, and N its length. For each choice of a reduced word $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \cdots, i_N)$ of w_0, there exists

Key words and phrases. Quantized universal enveloping algebras, Quantized coordinate rings.
Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): Primary 17B37; Secondary 17B67, 81R10, 81R50.

a basis $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ of the positive half $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ called a PBW-type basis (see Section 2.4 for the precise definition). The elements of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ are parametrized by the set of N-tuples of nonnegative integers. On the other hand, assume $w = w_0$. Since a basis of each Fock module is parametrized by the set of nonnegative integers, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathcal{F}_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{i_N}$ has a natural basis parametrized by the set of N-tuples of nonnegative integers:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N} \mathbb{Q}(q)|\mathbf{m}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}, \quad \text{where } |\mathbf{m}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = |m_1\rangle_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes |m_N\rangle_{i_N}.$$

Now, Kuniba, Okado and Yamada's theorem (KOY theorem, for short) is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.2.1 ([KOY])**.** *Let* $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \cdots, i_N)$ *and* $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \cdots, j_N)$ *be two reduced words of* w_0*. After a suitable choice of a normalization factor, the transition matrix between* $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ *and* $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{j}}$ *coincides with the matrix elements of the intertwiner* $\Psi : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{j}}$ *with respect to the natural bases of* $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$ *and* $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{j}}$*.*

Of course, the above is only "a rough description" of KOY theorem. After preparing some terminologies, the precise statement will be given in Section 4.3 (Theorem 4.3.1) . In [KOY], the result follows from case-by case computation in rank 2 cases.

1.3. The aim of the present article is to give a new proof of KOY theorem in a uniformed way. In our approach, the following two objects play important roles. The first one is *the q-boson algebra* $\mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$. It is an associate algebra introduced by Kashiwara in [K1], for constructing the crystal base $B(\infty)$ of the negative half $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. The second one is *the Drinfeld pairing* $(\ ,\ )_D$. This is a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-bilinear form on $U_q(\mathfrak{b}^+) \times U_q(\mathfrak{b}^-)$ which has some remarkable properties (see Section 5.1 in detail). In the construction of the universal R-matrix, this pairing plays a curtual role ([D],[T1]).

In the following, we explain our strategy for reproving KOY theorem. In Section 2, we give a quick survey on some basic properties of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, PBW-type bases and the q-boson algebra $\mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$. In Section 3, after giving the definition of $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$, we prove that there exists an algebra homomorphism from $\mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ to a certain right quotient ring $A_q(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathcal{S}}$ of $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$. The existence of this homomorphism is a key of our proof. In Section 4, we introduce the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_i$ and a tensor product module $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathcal{F}_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{i_l}$. In addition, we show that, for a reduced word $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \cdots, i_N)$ of the longest element w_0, the irreducible $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$ has a natural action of the right quotient ring $A_q(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathcal{S}}$. Hence, trough the algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \to A_q(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathcal{S}}$ introduced in Section 3, it can be regarded as a $\mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module. Furthermore, by using representation theory of $\mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$, we prove that there is an isomorphism $F_{\mathbf{i}}$ of $\mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules form $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$ to $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ (Theorem 4.2.5). This is one of main results of this article. After recalling the definition and some basic properties of the Drinfeld pairing $(\ ,\ )_D$ following [T1] in Section 5, we define a bilinear form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}} \times U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathbb{Q}(q)$ in Section 6. We note that the identification $F_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}} \xrightarrow{\sim} U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ established in Section 4 is essentially used in the definition of $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathbf{i}}$. By clarifying the relation between $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathbf{i}}$ and $(\ ,\ )_D$, we show that a PBW-type basis just coincides with a natural basis of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$ under the identification $F_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}} \xrightarrow{\sim} U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ (Theorem 4.3.2). Hence, KOY theorem is obtained as a easy corollary of our construction.

After finishing this work, Toshiyuki Tanisaki informed us that he has obtained similar results in more general settings, by a different approach [T2]. More precisely, he formulated a generalization of KOY theorem for a reduced expression of a (not necessarily longest) element $w = s_{i_l} \cdots s_{i_l}$ of W, and proved it.

Acknowledgment. Research of the author is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 20540008. The author is grateful to Professor Atsuo Kuniba for valuable discussions.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Basic notations.** Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a semisimple Lie algebra over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$. We fix a Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}^+$ and a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ so that $\mathfrak{b}^+ \supset \mathfrak{h}$. Let $\mathfrak{b}^-$ be the opposite Borel subalgebra. Namely, $\mathfrak{b}^-$ is a Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, such that $\mathfrak{b}^+ \cap \mathfrak{b}^- = \mathfrak{h}$. For $\mathfrak{g}$, its simple roots, simple coroots, fundamental weights, the set of positive roots, root lattice, weight lattice, the set of dominant weights are denoted by $\{\alpha_i\}_{i\in I}$, $\{h_i\}_{i\in I}$, $\{\varpi_i\}_{i\in I}$, Δ^+, Q, P, P^+, where I is the index set of the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$. Its Cartan matrix $(a_{i,j})_{i,j\in I}$ is given by $a_{i,j} = \langle h_i, \alpha_j\rangle = 2(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)/(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)$. Here $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : \mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathbb{C}$ is the natural pairing and $(\ ,\ )$ a non-degenerate invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{h}^*$. In this paper, we normalize $(\ ,\ )$ so that $(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) = 2$ when α_i is a simple short root. Set $Q^+ := \oplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_i$. Let W be the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$. It is generated by simple reflections $s_i = s_{\alpha_i}$ $(i \in I)$. We denote the longest element of W by w_0, and its length $l(w_0)$ by N.

2.2. **Quantized universal enveloping algebras.** Let $U_q = U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the quantized universal enveloping algebra associated with $\mathfrak{g}$. It is a unital associative algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ with generators $k_i^{\pm 1}, e_i, f_i$ $(i \in I)$ and relations

$$k_i k_i^{-1} = k_i^{-1} k_i = 1, \quad k_i k_j = k_j k_i,$$

$$k_i e_j k_i^{-1} = q_i^{\langle h_i, \alpha_j\rangle} e_j, \quad k_i f_j k_i^{-1} = q_i^{-\langle h_i, \alpha_j\rangle} f_j, \quad [e_i, f_j] = \delta_{i,j}\frac{k_i - k_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}},$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{i,j}} (-1)^r e_i^{(r)} e_j e_i^{(1-a_{i,j}-r)} = 0, \quad \sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{i,j}} (-1)^r f_i^{(r)} f_j f_i^{(1-a_{i,j}-r)} = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

Here $q_i = q^{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)/2}$, $[m]_i = (q_i^m - q_i^{-m})/(q_i - q_i^{-1})$, $[k]_i! = \prod_{m=1}^k [m]_i$ and $X^{(k)} = X^k/[k]_i!$ for $X \in U_q$. In this paper, we fix a Hopf algebra structure on U_q by

$$\Delta(k_i^{\pm 1}) = k_i^{\pm 1} \otimes k_i^{\pm 1}, \quad \Delta(e_i) = e_i \otimes 1 + k_i \otimes e_i, \quad \Delta(f_i) = f_i \otimes k_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes f_i,$$

$$\varepsilon(k_i^{\pm 1}) = 1, \quad \varepsilon(e_i) = 0, \quad \varepsilon(f_i) = 0,$$

$$S(k_i^{\pm 1}) = k_i^{\mp 1}, \quad S(e_i) = -k_i^{-1} e_i, \quad S(f_i) = -f_i k_i.$$

Define subalgebras $U_q^{\geq 0}, U_q^{\leq 0}, U_q^{\pm}, U_q^0, U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,i})$ by

$$U_q^{\geq 0} := \langle k_i^{\pm 1}, e_i \,|\, i \in I\rangle, \quad U_q^{\leq 0} := \langle\, k_i^{\pm 1}, f_i \,|\, i \in I\,\rangle, \quad U_q^+ := \langle e_i \,|\, i \in I\rangle, \quad U_q^- := \langle f_i \,|\, i \in I\rangle,$$

$$U_q^0 := \langle k_i^{\pm 1} \,|\, i \in I\rangle, \quad U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,i}) := \langle e_i, f_i, k_i^{\pm 1}\rangle.$$

Note that

$$U_q^0 = \bigoplus_{\beta\in Q} \mathbb{Q}(q) k^\beta.$$

Here we denote $k^\beta = \prod_{i\in I} k_i^{m_i}$ for $\beta = \sum_{i\in I} m_i\alpha_i \in Q$. The multiplication of U_q induces the following isomorphisms of vector spaces:

$$U_q \cong U_q^+ \otimes U_q^0 \otimes U_q^-, \quad U_q^{\geq 0} \cong U_q^+ \otimes U_q^0, \quad U_q^{\leq 0} \cong U_q^0 \otimes U_q^-.$$

For $\gamma \in Q^+ := \oplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_i$, set

$$\left(U_q^\pm\right)_{\pm\gamma} := \left\{ X \in U_q^\pm \;\middle|\; k_i X k_i^{-1} = q_i^{\pm\langle h_i,\gamma\rangle} X \text{ for every } i \in I \right\}.$$

Note that

$$U_q^{\pm} = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in Q^+} \left(U_q^{\pm}\right)_{\pm\gamma}.$$

We say a left U_q-module M is integrable if the following conditions are satisfied:

(a) $M = \bigoplus_{\nu \in P} M_\nu$ where $M_\nu := \left\{ u \in M \;\middle|\; k_i u = q_i^{\langle h_i, \nu \rangle} u \text{ for every } i \in I \right\}$.

(b) For every $\nu \in P$, $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} M_\nu < \infty$.

(c) For every $i \in I$, M is a union of finite-dimensional left $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,i})$-modules.

For a right U_q-module M^r, we define its integrability as similar as one of a left U_q-module M. Let $\mathcal{O}_{int}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the category of integrable left U_q-modules M such that, for every $u \in M$, there exits an integer $l \geq 0$ satisfying $e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_l} u = 0$ for any $i_1, \cdots, i_l \in I$. It is well-known that $\mathcal{O}_{int}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a semisimple category and any simple object is isomorphic to the irreducible highest weight left U_q-module $V(\lambda)$ with a dominant integral highest weight λ. Similarly, let $\mathcal{O}_{int}(\mathfrak{g}^{opp})$ be the category of integrable right U_q-modules M^r such that, for every $v \in M^r$, there exits an integer $l \geq 0$ satisfying $v f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_l} = 0$ for any $i_1, \cdots, i_l \in I$. The category $\mathcal{O}_{int}(\mathfrak{g}^{opp})$ is also semisimple and any simple object is isomorphic to the irreducible highest weight right U_q-module $V^r(\lambda)$ with a dominant integral highest weight λ. Fix highest weight vectors $u_\lambda \in V(\lambda)$ and $v_\lambda \in V^r(\lambda)$, respectively. Then, there exists a unique bilinear form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : V^r(\lambda) \otimes V(\lambda) \to \mathbb{Q}(q)$ such that

$$\langle v_\lambda, u_\lambda \rangle = 1,$$
$$\langle vP, u \rangle = \langle v, Pu \rangle \quad \text{for any } v \in V^r(\lambda),\ u \in V(\lambda) \text{ and } P \in U_q.$$

2.3. **Braid group actions on quantized enveloping algebras.** Let us recall the braid group actions on U_q, following to Lusztig's book [L].

Definition 2.3.1. *For $i \in I$, let $T'_{i,e}, T''_{i,-e}$ $(e = \pm 1)$ be $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra automorphisms of U_q, defined as follows:*

$$T'_{i,e}(e_j) := \begin{cases} -k_i^e f_i & \text{if } i = j, \\ \sum_{r=0}^{-a_{i,j}} (-1)^r q_i^{er} e_i^{(r)} e_j e_i^{(-a_{i,j}-r)} & \text{if } i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

$$T'_{i,e}(f_j) := \begin{cases} -e_i k_i^{-e} & \text{if } i = j, \\ \sum_{r=0}^{-a_{i,j}} (-1)^r q_i^{-er} f_i^{(-a_{i,j}-r)} f_j f_i^{(r)} & \text{if } i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

$$T'_{i,e}(k_j) := k_i^{-a_{i,j}} k_j,$$

$$T''_{i,-e}(e_j) := \begin{cases} -f_i k_i^{-e} & \text{if } i = j, \\ \sum_{r=0}^{-a_{i,j}} (-1)^r q_i^{er} e_i^{(-a_{i,j}-r)} e_j e_i^{(r)} & \text{if } i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

$$T''_{i,-e}(f_j) := \begin{cases} -k_i^e e_i & \text{if } i = j, \\ \sum_{r=0}^{-a_{i,j}} (-1)^r q_i^{-er} f_i^{(r)} f_j f_i^{(-a_{i,j}-r)} & \text{if } i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

$$T''_{i,-e}(k_j) = k_i^{-a_{i,j}} k_j.$$

It is well-known that the operators $\{T'_{i,e}\}_{i \in I}$ (*resp.* $\{T''_{i,-e}\}_{i \in I}$) satisfy the braid relations (see [L]). Let $w \in W$ and take a reduced expression $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_l}$. Then, for $\sharp = '$ or $''$, the operator $T^\sharp_{i_1,e} \cdots T^\sharp_{i_l,e}$ is independent of a choice of a reduced expression of w. So, it is denoted by $T^\sharp_{w,e}$.

Let $*$ be a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra anti-involution of U_q defined by

$$* : e_i \mapsto e_i, \quad f_i \mapsto f_i, \quad k_i^{\pm} \mapsto k_i^{\mp}.$$

The following formulae are easily satisfied by the definition.

Lemma 2.3.2. *We have*

$$* \circ T'_{i,e} \circ * = T''_{i,-e} = (T'_{i,e})^{-1},$$
$$S \circ T'_{i,1} \circ S^{-1} = T'_{i,-1} \quad \textit{and} \quad S \circ T''_{i,-1} \circ S^{-1} = T''_{i,1}.$$

Remark . In [Sa] (*resp.* [KOY]), the above $T''_{i,1}$ (*resp.* $T'_{i,1}$) is denoted by T_i.

There is another description of the operator $T''_{i,1}$. Let us consider the following formal infinite sum:

$$S_i := \exp_{q_i^{-1}}\bigl(q_i^{-1}e_ik_i^{-1}\bigr)\exp_{q_i^{-1}}\bigl(-f_i\bigr)\exp_{q_i^{-1}}\bigl(q_i^{-1}e_ik_i\bigr)q^{h_i(h_i+1)/2}.$$

Here $\exp_q(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{k(k-1)/2}x^{(k)}$ is the q-exponential function, and $q^{h_i(h_i+1)/2}$ is a operator on $M \in \mathcal{O}_{int}(\mathfrak{g})$, which is defined by $q^{h_i(h_i+1)/2}u = q^{\langle h_i,\nu\rangle(\langle h_i,\nu\rangle+1)/2}u$ for a weight vector $u \in M_\nu$ of weight $\nu \in P$.

Lemma 2.3.3 ([Sa])**.** (1) *For $M \in \mathcal{O}_{int}(\mathfrak{g})$, the formal infinite sums S_i $(i \in I)$ are well-defined automorphisms on M, and they satisfy the braid relations.*
(2) *Let X be an element of U_q. Then we have $T''_{i,1}(X)u = S_iXS_i^{-1}u$ for every $u \in M$.*

For later use, we introduce the following formula.

Proposition 2.3.4 ([KR],[L])**.** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(S_i) &= (S_i \otimes S_i)\exp_{q_i}\bigl((q_i - q_i^{-1})f_i \otimes e_i\bigr)\\ &= \exp_{q_i}\bigl((q_i - q_i^{-1})k_i^{-1}e_i \otimes f_ik_i\bigr)\,(S_i \otimes S_i)\,.\end{aligned}$$

For a reduced expression $w = s_{i_1}\cdots s_{i_l} \in W$, set $S_w := S_{i_1}\cdots S_{i_l}$. By Lemma 2.3.3, this definition does not depend on a choice of a reduced expression. For a highest weight vector u_λ of $V(\lambda)$, set $u_{w_0\lambda} := S_{w_0}^{-1}u_\lambda$. Note that it is a lowest weight vector of $V(\lambda)$.

Define actions of $S_i^{\pm 1}$ on $V^r(\lambda)$ by

$$\bigl\langle vS_i^{\pm 1}, u\bigr\rangle := \bigl\langle v, S_i^{\pm 1}u\bigr\rangle \quad \text{for } v \in V^r(\lambda), u \in V(\lambda),$$

and set $v_{w_0\lambda} := v_\lambda S_{w_0} \in V^r(\lambda)$. Then $v_{w_0\lambda}$ is a lowest weight vector of $V^r(\lambda)$, and

$$\langle v_{w_0\lambda}, u_{w_0\lambda}\rangle = \langle v_\lambda, u_\lambda\rangle = 1.$$

2.4. **PBW-type bases.** Fix a reduced expression $w_0 = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_N}$ of the longest element $w_0 \in W$, and set $\mathbf{i} := (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_N)$. For each $e = \pm 1$ and $\sharp = \prime$ or $\prime\prime$, we set

$$\mathbf{e}^\sharp_{\mathbf{i},e;k} := T^\sharp_{i_1,e}\cdots T^\sharp_{i_{k-1},e}(e_{i_k}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{f}^\sharp_{\mathbf{i},e;k} := T^\sharp_{i_1,e}\cdots T^\sharp_{i_{k-1},e}(f_{i_k}) \qquad (1 \le k \le N).$$

Furthermore, for an N-tuple of non-negative integers $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \cdots, m_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^N$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{e}^\sharp_{\mathbf{i},1}(\mathbf{m}) &:= \bigl(\mathbf{e}^\sharp_{\mathbf{i},1;1}\bigr)^{m_1}\bigl(\mathbf{e}^\sharp_{\mathbf{i},1;2}\bigr)^{m_2}\cdots\bigl(\mathbf{e}^\sharp_{\mathbf{i},1;N}\bigr)^{m_N},\\ \mathbf{f}^\sharp_{\mathbf{i},1}(\mathbf{m}) &:= \bigl(\mathbf{f}^\sharp_{\mathbf{i},1;1}\bigr)^{m_1}\bigl(\mathbf{f}^\sharp_{\mathbf{i},1;2}\bigr)^{m_2}\cdots\bigl(\mathbf{f}^\sharp_{\mathbf{i},1;N}\bigr)^{m_N},\\ \mathbf{e}^\sharp_{\mathbf{i},-1}(\mathbf{m}) &:= \bigl(\mathbf{e}^\sharp_{\mathbf{i},-1;N}\bigr)^{m_N}\cdots\bigl(\mathbf{e}^\sharp_{\mathbf{i},-1;2}\bigr)^{m_2}\bigl(\mathbf{e}^\sharp_{\mathbf{i},-1;1}\bigr)^{m_1},\\ \mathbf{f}^\sharp_{\mathbf{i},-1}(\mathbf{m}) &:= \bigl(\mathbf{f}^\sharp_{\mathbf{i},-1;N}\bigr)^{m_N}\cdots\bigl(\mathbf{f}^\sharp_{\mathbf{i},-1;2}\bigr)^{m_2}\bigl(\mathbf{f}^\sharp_{\mathbf{i},-1;1}\bigr)^{m_1}.\end{aligned}$$

For each e and $\sharp$, the set $\bigl\{\mathbf{e}^\sharp_{\mathbf{i},e}(\mathbf{m}) \bigm| \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^N\bigr\}$ (*resp.* $\bigl\{\mathbf{f}^\sharp_{\mathbf{i},e}(\mathbf{m}) \bigm| \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^N\bigr\}$) forms a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-basis of U_q^+ (*resp.* U_q^-) called a PBW-type basis. By Lemma 2.3.2, we have

$$\mathbf{e}''_{\mathbf{i},-e}(\mathbf{m}) := \bigl(\mathbf{e}'_{\mathbf{i},e}(\mathbf{m})\bigr)^* \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{f}''_{\mathbf{i},-e}(\mathbf{m}) := \bigl(\mathbf{f}'_{\mathbf{i},e}(\mathbf{m})\bigr)^*.$$

2.5. **The q-boson algebras.** For $i \in I$, let f_i' be the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-endomorphism of U_q^+ characterized by

$$f_i'(e_j) = \delta_{i,j}, \qquad f_i'(XY) = f_i'(X)Y + q_i^{-\langle h_i,\gamma\rangle} X f_i'(Y) \quad \text{for } X \in \left(U_q^+\right)_\gamma, Y \in U_q^+.$$

Then, the following equality holds in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}\left(U_q^+\right)$:

$$f_i' e_j = q_i^{-a_{i,j}} e_j f_i' + \delta_{i,j}. \tag{2.5.1}$$

Here we regard e_j as the left multiplication.

Definition 2.5.1. *Let $\mathcal{B}_q = \mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be a unital associative algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ generated by e_i and f_i' $(i \in I)$ with the commutation relations*

$$f_i' e_j = q_i^{-a_{i,j}} e_j f_j' + \delta_{i,j},$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{i,j}} (-1)^l e_i^{(r)} e_j e_i^{(1-a_{i,j}-r)} = 0, \quad \sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{i,j}} (-1)^l (f_i')^{(r)} f_j' (f_i')^{(1-a_{i,j}-r)} = 0 \quad \textit{for } i \neq j.$$

We call $\mathcal{B}_q$ the q-boson algebra associated with U_q^+.

Remark . Kashiwara [K1] considered "a U_q^--version" of the q-boson algebra, which is denoted by $\mathcal{B}_q$ in [K1]. It is a unital associative algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ generated by e_i' and f_i $(i \in I)$ with the commutation relations

$$e_i' f_j = q_i^{-a_{i,j}} f_j e_j' + \delta_{i,j},$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{i,j}} (-1)^l (e_i')^{(r)} e_j' (e_i')^{(1-a_{i,j}-r)} = 0, \quad \sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{i,j}} (-1)^l f_i^{(r)} f_j f_i^{(1-a_{i,j}-r)} = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

He also proved some basic facts on the representation theory of his q-boson algebras. Moreover, he used these facts in the construction of the crystal base $B(\infty)$ of U_q^-.

In the following, we introduce some basic properties for $\mathcal{B}_q$-modules. Since all statements are proved in similar ways as the case of U_q^-, we omit to give proofs. (In U_q^- case, one can easily find the corresponding statements and their proofs in [K1].)

Theorem 2.5.2. (1) *U_q^+ has a natural left $\mathcal{B}_q$-module structure via* (2.5.1)*. Moreover, as a $\mathcal{B}_q$-module, $U_q^+ \cong \mathcal{B}_q \big/ \sum_{i\in I} \mathcal{B}_q f_i'$ and it is simple.*
(2) *Let $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{B}_q)$ be the category of left $\mathcal{B}_q$-modules M such that for any element u of M there exists an integer l such that $f_{i_1}' \cdots f_{i_l}' u = 0$ for any $i_1, \cdots, i_l \in I$. Then the category $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{B}_q)$ is semisimple and U_q^+ is a unique isomorphic class of simple objects in $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{B}_q)$.*

3. Quantized coordinate rings

3.1. **Definition of quantized coordinate rings.** By the general theory of Hopf algebras, the dual space $U_q^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(U_q, \mathbb{Q}(q))$ of U_q has a canonical algebra structure defined by

$$\langle \varphi_1\varphi_2, P\rangle = \sum \langle \varphi_1, P^{(1)}\rangle \langle \varphi_2, P^{(2)}\rangle \quad \text{for } \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in U_q^* \text{ and } P \in U_q.$$

Here $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : U_q^* \otimes U_q \to \mathbb{Q}(q)$ is the canonical pairing and we use Sweedler's notation for the coproduct: $\Delta(P) = \sum P^{(1)} \otimes P^{(2)}$. Furthermore, it has a U_q-bimodule structure by

$$\langle X\varphi Y, P\rangle = \langle \varphi, YPX\rangle \quad \text{for } \varphi \in U_q^* \text{ and } X, Y, P \in U_q.$$

Definition 3.1.1. *Define the subalgebra $A_q = A_q(\mathfrak{g})$ of U_q^* by*

$$A_q := \left\{ \varphi \in U_q^* \mid U_q(\mathfrak{g})\varphi \text{ belongs to } \mathcal{O}_{int}(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ and } \varphi U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ belongs to } \mathcal{O}_{int}(\mathfrak{g}^{opp}) \right\}.$$

We call $A_q = A_q(\mathfrak{g})$ the quantized coordinate ring associated with $\mathfrak{g}$.

Remark . (1) Since our $\mathfrak{g}$ is a semisimple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$, each object in $\mathcal{O}_{int}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{O}_{int}(\mathfrak{g}^{opp})$ is finite dimensional . Therefore, by the general theory of Hopf algebras, A_q has a natural Hopf algebra structure induced form one of U_q.

(2) One can consider the quantized coordinate ring for an arbitrary symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. However, in general, it does not have a Hopf algebra structure.

The following theorem is a q-analogue of the Peter-Weyl theorem.

Theorem 3.1.2 ([K2])**.** *As a U_q-bimodule, A_q is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{\lambda\in P^+} V^r(\lambda)\otimes V(\lambda)$ by the homomorphisms*

$$\Phi : V^r(\lambda)\otimes V(\lambda) \to A_q$$

given by

$$\langle \Phi(v\otimes u), P\rangle = \langle v, Pu\rangle \quad \text{for } v\in V^r(\lambda),\ u\in V(\lambda),\ P\in U_q.$$

3.2. **RTT relations I.** For a given $\lambda \in P^+$, let us fix bases $\{v_k^\lambda\}$ and $\{u_l^\lambda\}$ of $V^r(\lambda)$ and $V(\lambda)$ such that $\langle v_k^\lambda, u_l^\lambda\rangle = \delta_{k,l}$, respectively. Set $\varphi_{k,l}^\lambda := \Phi(v_k^\lambda \otimes u_l^\lambda)$. By Theorem 3.1.2, A_q is spanned by $\{\varphi_{k,l}^\lambda\}$ over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$. Their commutation relations in A_q are described as follows. Fix a reduced longest word $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \cdots, i_N)$. Then the the universal R-matrix $\mathcal{R}$ for $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{R} := q^{(\mathrm{wt}\cdot,\mathrm{wt}\cdot)}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbf{i},N}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbf{i},N-1}\cdots\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbf{i},1}. \tag{3.2.1}$$

Here $q^{(\mathrm{wt}\cdot,\mathrm{wt}\cdot)}$ is an operator defined by $q^{(\mathrm{wt}\cdot,\mathrm{wt}\cdot)}(X_\mu\otimes X_\nu) = q^{(\mu,\nu)}X_\mu\otimes X_\nu$ for weight vectors X_μ and X_ν of weight μ and ν respectively, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbf{i},k} := \exp_{q_{i_k}}\left((q_{i_k}-q_{i_k}^{-1})\mathbf{e}''_{\mathbf{i},1;k}\otimes \mathbf{f}''_{\mathbf{i},1;k}\right)$ for $1\le k\le N$. It is known that this definition does not depend on a choice of a reduced longest word $\mathbf{i}$ (see *e.g.* [CP] in detail). Note that

$$\mathcal{R} \in q^{(\mathrm{wt}\cdot,\mathrm{wt}\cdot)} \bigoplus_{\gamma\in Q_+} \left(U_q^+\right)_\gamma \otimes \left(U_q^-\right)_{-\gamma}. \tag{3.2.2}$$

Let R be the constant R-matrix for $V(\lambda)\otimes V(\mu)$. It is given as

$$R \propto (\pi_\lambda\otimes\pi_\mu)(\sigma\mathcal{R}), \tag{3.2.3}$$

where π_λ is the homomorphism $U_q \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}\big(V(\lambda)\big)$, and σ is the operator exchanging the first and second components. Set $\Delta' := \sigma\circ\Delta$. Then we have

$$R\Delta(X) = \Delta'(X)R \quad \text{for any } X\in U_q.$$

The following proposition describes commutation relations for $\varphi_{k,l}^\lambda$'s, in terms of R-matrix. These relations were first obtained by Reshetikhin, Takhtadzhyan and Faddeev [RTF] (see *e.g.* [KOY] for a proof.)

Proposition 3.2.1 ([RTF])**.** *Let $\{u_k^\lambda\}$ and $\{u_l^\mu\}$ be a bases of $V(\lambda)$ and $V(\mu)$, respectively. Define matrix elements $R_{st.kl}$ by $R(u_k^\lambda\otimes u_l^\mu) = \sum_{s,t} R_{st,kl}u_s^\lambda\otimes u_t^\mu$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{m,p} R_{st,mp}\varphi_{m,k}^\lambda\varphi_{p,l}^\mu = \sum_{m,p}\varphi_{t,p}^\mu\varphi_{s,m}^\lambda R_{mp,kl}. \tag{3.2.4}$$

We call such relations the RTT relations.

3.3. **Mutually commutative families.** In this subsection, we introduce two kinds of families of mutually commutative elements $\{\sigma_i\}_{i\in I}$ and $\{\tau_i\}_{i\in I}$.

First, let us define σ_i $(i \in I)$ following [KOY].

Definition 3.3.1. *For each $i \in I$, set*

$$\sigma_i := \Phi(v_{\varpi_i} \otimes u_{w_0\varpi_i}).$$

Proposition 3.3.2 ([J],[KOY])**.** *For $v \in V^r(\mu)_\xi$ and $u \in V(\mu)_\nu$, the following commutation relation holds in A_q:*

$$q^{(\varpi_i,\xi)}\sigma_i\Phi(v \otimes u) = q^{(w_0\varpi_i,\nu)}\Phi(v \otimes u)\sigma_i. \tag{3.3.1}$$

In particular, $\sigma_i\sigma_j = \sigma_j\sigma_i$ for every $i, j \in I$.

Next, we introduce another family of mutually commutative elements $\{\tau_i\}_{i\in I}$. For $i \in I$, there is a unique $i' \in I$ such that

$$w_0\varpi_{i'} = -\varpi_i. \tag{3.3.2}$$

Then we have $v_{w_0\varpi_{i'}} f_i \neq 0$, and i' is a unique element of I which has such a property.

Definition 3.3.3. *For each $i \in I$, set*

$$\tau_i := \Phi(v_{w_0\varpi_{i'}} \otimes u_{\varpi_{i'}}).$$

Since the following propitiation can be proved by a similar method as Proposition 3.3.2 (and was obtained by Joseph [J]), we omit to give a proof.

Proposition 3.3.4 ([J])**.** *The following commutation relation holds in A_q:*

$$q^{(\varpi_{i'},\nu)}\tau_i\Phi(v \otimes u) = q^{(w_0\varpi_{i'},\xi)}\Phi(v \otimes u)\tau_i. \tag{3.3.3}$$

In particular, $\tau_i\tau_j = \tau_j\tau_i$ and $\sigma_i\tau_j = \tau_j\sigma_i$ for every $i, j \in I$.

Remark . Since $w_0\varpi_{i'} = -\varpi_i$, the relation (3.3.3) can be rewritten as

$$q^{(\varpi_i,\xi)}\tau_i\Phi(v \otimes u) = q^{(w_0\varpi_i,\nu)}\Phi(v \otimes u)\tau_i. \tag{3.3.4}$$

For $\lambda = \sum_{i\in I} m_i\varpi_i \in P^+$ $(m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$, set

$$\sigma_\lambda := \Phi(v_\lambda \otimes u_{w_0\lambda}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_\lambda := \Phi(v_{w_0\lambda'} \otimes u_{\lambda'}),$$

where $\lambda' := -w_0\lambda$. By the definition of the multiplication of A_q, we have

$$\sigma_\lambda = \prod_{i\in I} \sigma_i^{m_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_\lambda = \prod_{i\in I} \tau_i^{m_i}.$$

Define subsets $\mathcal{S}^\pm$ and $\mathcal{S}$ of A_q by

$$\mathcal{S}^+ := \left\{ \sigma_\lambda \mid \lambda \in P^+ \right\}, \qquad \mathcal{S}^- := \left\{ \tau_\mu \mid \mu \in P^+ \right\}, \qquad \mathcal{S} := \left\{ \sigma_\lambda\tau_\mu \mid \lambda, \mu \in P^+ \right\}.$$

Obviously, they are multiplicatively closed subsets of A_q. Moreover the following proposition is known.

Proposition 3.3.5 ([J])**.** (1) *The right Ore conditions for $\mathcal{S}^\pm$ and $\mathcal{S}$ are satisfied.*
(2) *The right quotient rings $(A_q)_{\mathcal{S}^\pm}$ and $(A_q)_{\mathcal{S}}$ exist.*

Note that $(A_q)_{\mathcal{S}^\pm}$ are regarded as subalgebras of $(A_q)_{\mathcal{S}}$ in a natural way.

Definition 3.3.6. *Let us define vector subspaces $A_q^\pm$ of $A_q(G)$ by*

$$A_q^+ := \bigoplus_{\lambda\in P^+} \left\{ \Phi(v \otimes u_{w_0\lambda}) \;\middle|\; v \in V^r(\lambda) \right\}.$$

$$A_q^- := \bigoplus_{\lambda\in P^+} \left\{ \Phi(v \otimes u_\lambda) \;\middle|\; v \in V^r(\lambda) \right\},$$

Remark . In [J] (*resp.* [KS]), our $A_q^{\pm}$ are denoted by $R^{\mp}$ (*resp.* $A_{\mp}$).

Note that, for $\lambda \in P^+$, $\sigma_\lambda \in A_q^+$ and $\tau_\lambda \in A_q^-$. The following proposition is known.

Proposition 3.3.7 ([J],[KS])**.** (1) *$A_q^{\pm}$ are subalgebras of A_q. Furthermore, the multiplication map $A_q^+ \otimes A_q^- \to A_q : \varphi \otimes \psi \mapsto \varphi\psi$ is surjective.*

(2) *The right quotient rings $(A_q^{\pm})_{\mathcal{S}^{\pm}}$ exist. Furthermore, the multiplication map $\big(A_q^+\big)_{\mathcal{S}^+} \otimes \big(A_q^-\big)_{\mathcal{S}^-} \to \big(A_q\big)_{\mathcal{S}} : \varphi \otimes \psi \mapsto \varphi\psi$ is surjective.*

For $\lambda \in P$, write $\lambda = \lambda^+ - \lambda^-$ where $\lambda^{\pm} \in P^+$. Set

$$\sigma_\lambda := \sigma_{\lambda^+}(\sigma_{\lambda^-})^{-1} \in (A_q^+)_{\mathcal{S}^+}, \qquad \tau_\lambda := \tau_{\lambda^+}(\tau_{\lambda^-})^{-1} \in (A_q^-)_{\mathcal{S}^-}$$

respectively.

3.4. **RTT relations II.** Consider the following elements in A_q:

$$\sigma_i e_i = \Phi(v_{\varpi_i} e_i \otimes u_{w_0\varpi_i}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_i f_i = \Phi(v_{w_0\varpi_{i'}} f_i \otimes u_{\varpi_{i'}}).$$

In this subsection, we try to compute the commutations for $\sigma_i e_i$ and $\tau_i f_i$ by using the RTT relations (3.2.4).

Proposition 3.4.1. *For $v \in V^r(\mu)_\xi$ and $u \in V(\mu)_\nu$, the following equalities hold in A_q:*

$$(\sigma_i e_i)\Phi(v \otimes u) - q^{(w_0\varpi_i,\nu)-(\varpi_i-\alpha_i,\xi)}\Phi(v \otimes u)(\sigma_i e_i) = -(q_i - q_i^{-1})\sigma_i \Phi(ve_i \otimes u), \quad (3.4.1)$$

$$\Phi(v \otimes u)(\tau_i f_i) - q^{(\varpi_{i'},\nu)-(w_0\varpi_{i'}+\alpha_i,\xi)}(\tau_i f_i)\Phi(v \otimes u) = -(q_i - q_i^{-1})\Phi(vf_i \otimes u)\tau_i. \quad (3.4.2)$$

Proof. Since (3.4.2) is obtained by the similar argument as (3.4.1), we only give a proof of (3.4.1). Let us consider the case that $\lambda = \varpi_i$ in (3.2.4). Take bases $\{v_s^{\varpi_i}\}_{1\le s\le N_i}$ of $V^r(\varpi_i)$, $\{u_k^{\varpi_i}\}_{1\le k\le N_i}$ of $V(\varpi_i)$, $\{v_t^{\mu}\}_{1\le t\le N_\mu}$ of $V^r(\mu)$ and $\{u_l^{\mu}\}_{1\le l\le N_\mu}$ of $V(\mu)$, so that $v_1^{\varpi_i} = v_{\varpi_i}, v_2^{\varpi_i} = v_{\varpi_i}e_i, u_{N_i}^{\varpi_i} = u_{w_0\varpi_i}$. Here $N_i = \dim V^r(\varpi_i) = \dim V(\varpi_i)$ and $N_\mu = \dim V^r(\mu) = \dim V(\mu)$, respectively. In addition, we assume $v_t^{\mu} = v, \quad u_l^{\mu} = u$ for some t and l. Under the convention above, we have

$$\sigma_i = \varphi_{1,N_i}^{\varpi_i}, \quad \sigma_i e_i = \varphi_{2,N_i}^{\varpi_i}, \quad \Phi(v \otimes u) = \varphi_{t,l}^{\mu}.$$

If $ve_i = 0$, then $\Phi(ve_i \otimes u) = 0$, of course. Otherwise, without loss of generality, we can take a base $\{v_t^{\mu}\}_{1\le t\le N_\mu}$ of $V^r(\mu)$ so that $v_{t+1}^{\mu} = ve_i$. Then we have

$$\Phi(ve_i \otimes u) = \varphi_{t+1,l}^{\mu}.$$

Thus, (3.4.1) can be reformulated as

$$\begin{cases} q^{(\varpi_i-\alpha_i,\xi)}\big\{\varphi_{2,N_i}^{\varpi_i}\varphi_{t,l}^{\mu} + (q_i - q_i^{-1})\varphi_{1,N_i}^{\varpi_i}\varphi_{t+1,l}^{\mu}\big\} = q^{(w_0\varpi_i,\nu)}\varphi_{t,l}^{\mu}\varphi_{2,N_i}^{\varpi_i} & \text{if } ve_i \neq 0, \\ q^{(\varpi_i-\alpha_i,\xi)}\varphi_{2,N_i}^{\varpi_i}\varphi_{t,l}^{\mu} = q^{(w_0\varpi_i,\nu)}\varphi_{t,l}^{\mu}\varphi_{2,N_i}^{\varpi_i} & \text{if } ve_i = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.4.3)$$

In the following, we will prove (3.4.3). By (3.2.1) and (3.2.3), we have

$$R(u_{N_i}^{\varpi_i} \otimes u_l^{\mu}) = q^{(w_0\varpi_i,\nu)}u_{N_i}^{\varpi_i} \otimes u_l^{\mu}$$

Therefore we have

$$R_{st,N_i l} = q^{(w_0\varpi_i,\nu)}\delta_{s,N_i}\delta_{t,l}. \quad (3.4.4)$$

On the other hand, by the same formulae, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(v_2^{\varpi_i} \otimes v_t^{\mu})R &= (v_{\varpi_i}e_i \otimes v_t^{\mu})R \\ &= q^{(\varpi_i-\alpha_i,\xi)}(v_{\varpi_i}e_i \otimes v_t^{\mu})\exp_{q_i}\big((q_i - q_i^{-1})f_i \otimes e_i\big) \\ &= q^{(\varpi_i-\alpha_i,\xi)}\big(v_2^{\varpi_i} \otimes v_t^{\mu} + (q_i - q_i^{-1})v_1^{\varpi_i} \otimes v_t^{\mu}e_i\big).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$R_{2t,kl} = \begin{cases} q^{(\varpi_i-\alpha_i,\xi)}\big(\delta_{k,2}\delta_{t,l} + (q_i - q_i^{-1})\delta_{k,1}\delta_{t+1,l}\big) & \text{if } ve_i \neq 0, \\ q^{(\varpi_i-\alpha_i,\xi)}\delta_{k,2}\delta_{t,l} & \text{if } ve_i = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.4.5}$$

By substituting (3.4.4) and (3.4.5) to (3.2.4) with $s = 2$ and $k = N_i$, we have (3.4.3). □

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.3.2, 3.3.4 and 3.4.1.

Corollary 3.4.2. *The following formulae hold in $(A_q)_{\mathcal{S}}$:*

$$(\sigma_i e_i)\sigma_i^{-1}\Phi(v\otimes u) - q_i^{\langle h_i,\xi\rangle}\Phi(v\otimes u)(\sigma_i e_i)\sigma_i^{-1} = (1-q_i^2)\Phi(ve_i\otimes u),$$
$$\Phi(v\otimes u)(\tau_i f_i)\tau_i^{-1} - q_i^{-\langle h_i,\xi\rangle}(\tau_i f_i)\tau_i^{-1}\Phi(v\otimes u) = -(q_i - q_i^{-1})\Phi(vf_i\otimes u).$$

3.5. **Other mutually commutative families.** In this subsection, we introduce other mutually commutative families in A_q. For $\lambda = \sum_{i\in I} m_i\omega_i \in P^+$ ($m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$), we set

$$\phi_\lambda := \Phi(v_\lambda \otimes u_\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^{w_0\lambda} := \Phi(v_{w_0\lambda}\otimes u_{w_0\lambda}).$$

Lemma 3.5.1. (1) *For every $\lambda, \mu \in P^+$, we have*

$$\phi_\lambda\phi_\mu = \phi_\mu\phi_\lambda \quad \textit{and} \quad \phi^{w_0\lambda}\phi^{w_0\mu} = \phi^{w_0\mu}\phi^{w_0\lambda}.$$

(2) *For $\lambda = \sum_{i\in I} m_i\omega_i \in P^+$ ($m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$), the following equalities hold in A_q:*

$$\phi_\lambda = \prod_{i\in I}(\phi_{\varpi_i})^{m_i} \quad \textit{and} \quad \phi^{w_0\lambda} = \prod_{i\in I}(\phi^{w_0\varpi_i})^{m_i}.$$

Proof. By using the RTT relations (3.2.4), the assertion (1) is obtained by a similar way as Proposition 3.4.1 with easier arguments. So, we omit to give a detailed proof. The assertion (2) is a direct consequence of the definition of the product in A_q. □

Note that the right hand sides of the formulae in Lemma 3.5.1 (2) are independent of the choice of orders of products.

3.6. **Generalized q-boson algebras.**

Definition 3.6.1. *For $i \in I$, define elements $b_i^{\pm} \in (A_q)_{\mathcal{S}}$ by*

$$b_i^+ := \frac{(\sigma_i e_i)\sigma_i^{-1}}{1-q_i^2}, \qquad b_i^- := -q_i^2(\tau_i f_i)\tau_i^{-1}.$$

Note that $b_i^{\pm} \in (A_q^{\pm})_{\mathcal{S}^{\pm}}$. By using these elements, Corollary 3.4.2 can be rewritten as follows.

Corollary 3.6.2. *The following formulae hold in $(A_q)_{\mathcal{S}}$:*

$$b_i^+\Phi(v\otimes u) - q_i^{\langle h_i,\xi\rangle}\Phi(v\otimes u)b_i^+ = \Phi(ve_i\otimes u), \tag{3.6.1}$$
$$\Phi(v\otimes u)b_i^- - q_i^{-\langle \alpha_i,\xi\rangle}b_i^-\Phi(v\otimes u) = q_i^2(q_i - q_i^{-1})\Phi(vf_i\otimes u). \tag{3.6.2}$$

Here, ξ is the weight of v.

Let $B_q = B_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be a subalgebra of $(A_q)_{\mathcal{S}}$ generated by b_i^+, b_i^-, $\sigma_i^{\pm 1}$, $\tau_i^{\pm 1}$ ($i \in I$), and B_q^+ (*resp.* B_q^-) a subalgebra of B_q generated by b_i^+, $\sigma_i^{\pm 1}$, (*resp.* b_i^-, $\tau_i^{\pm 1}$ ($i \in I$)). By the definition, we have $B_q^{\pm} \subset (A_q^{\pm})_{\mathcal{S}^{\pm}}$.

Lemma 3.6.3. (1) *For every $\mu \in P^+, v \in V^r(\mu)$, $\Phi(v\otimes u_{w_0\mu})$ is an element of B_q^+.*
(2) *For every $\mu \in P^+, v \in V^r(\mu)$, $\Phi(v\otimes u_\mu)$ is an element of B_q^-.*

Proof. We only give a proof of (1). The assertion (2) is obtained by a similar method.

(a) $\phi_{w_0\varpi_j} = \Phi(u_{w_0\varpi_j} \otimes u_{w_0\varpi_j}) \in B_q^+$ for every $j \in I$.

First, we assume that $v_{\varpi_j}e_j$ is a lowest weight vector of $V^r(\varpi_j)$. Then, $v_{w_0\varpi_j} = \kappa v_{\varpi_j}e_j$ for some $\kappa \in \mathbb{Q}(q)^\times$. Since $\Phi(v_{\varpi_j}e_j \otimes u_{w_0\varpi_j}) = (1-q_j^2)b_j^+\sigma_j$ is an element of B_q^+, the assertion is obvious. Next, we assume $v_{\varpi_j}e_j$ is not a lowest weight vector of $V^r(\varpi_j)$. Then there exists $i_1 \in I$ such that $v_{\varpi_j}e_je_{i_1} \neq 0$. By (3.6.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_{i_1}^+\Phi(v_{\varpi_j}e_j \otimes u_{w_0\varpi_j}) - q_{i_1}^{\langle h_{i_1}, \varpi_j - \alpha_j\rangle}\Phi(v_{\varpi_j}e_j \otimes u_{w_0\varpi_j})b_{i_1}^+ \\ = (1-q_j^2)\left(b_{i_1}^+b_j^+\sigma_j - q_{i_1}^{\langle h_{i_1}, \varpi_j - \alpha_j\rangle}b_j^+\sigma_j b_{i_1}^+\right) \\ = \Phi(v_{\varpi_j}e_je_{i_1} \otimes u_{w_0\varpi_j}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have $\Phi(v_{\varpi_j}e_je_{i_1} \otimes u_{w_0\varpi_j}) \in B_q^+$. If $v_{\varpi_j}e_je_{i_1}$ is a lowest weight vector of $V^r(\varpi_j)$, the assertion is proved. Otherwise, there exists $i_2 \in I$ such that $v_{\varpi_j}e_je_{i_1}e_{i_2} \neq 0$. Then, by using (3.6.1) again, we have $\Phi(v_{\varpi_j}e_je_{i_1}e_{i_2} \otimes u_{w_0\varpi_j}) \in B_q^+$. By repeating these process, we have (a).

(b) $\phi_{w_0\mu} = \Phi(v_{w_0\mu} \otimes u_{w_0\mu}) \in B_q^+$ for every $\mu \in P^+$.

This assertion is a direct consequence of (a) and Lemma 3.5.1 (2).

(c) $\Phi(v \otimes u_{w_0\mu}) \in B_q^+$ for every $v \in V^r(\mu)$.

Since $v \in V^r(\mu)$ can be written as a linear combination of the vectors of the form $v_{w_0\mu}f_{i_1}\cdots f_{i_l}$, we may assume $v = v_{w_0\mu}f_{i_1}\cdots f_{i_l}$. By (b), (3.6.2) and the similar argument as the proof of (a), we have the statement. □

The following is a direct consequence of the lemma above.

Corollary 3.6.4. *We have* $B_q^\pm = (A_q^\pm)_{\mathcal{S}^\pm}$.

Furthermore, by Lemma 3.6.3 and Proposition 3.3.7, we immediately have the next corollary.

Corollary 3.6.5. $B_q = (A_q)_{\mathcal{S}}$.

Proposition 3.6.6. *In* $B_q = (A_q)_{\mathcal{S}}$, *the following equalities hold:*

(GB1) $\sigma_i\sigma_j = \sigma_i\sigma_j$, $\tau_i\tau_j = \tau_j\tau_i$, $\sigma_i\tau_j = \tau_j\sigma_i$.

(GB2) $\sigma_i b_j^\pm \sigma_i^{-1} = q_j^{\pm\delta_{i,j}}b_j^\pm$, $\tau_i b_j^\pm \tau_i^{-1} = q_j^{\pm\delta_{i,j}}b_j^\pm$.

(GB3) $b_i^-b_j^+ = q_i^{-a_{i,j}}b_j^+b_i^- + \delta_{i,j}$.

(GB4) *For* $i \neq j$,

$$\sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{i,j}} (-1)^r \left(b_i^+\right)^{(r)} b_j^+ \left(b_i^+\right)^{(1-a_{i,j}-r)} = 0, \quad \sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{i,j}} (-1)^r \left(b_i^-\right)^{(r)} b_j^- \left(b_i^-\right)^{(1-a_{i,j}-r)} = 0.$$

Proof. First, (GB1) is already proved in Proposition 3.3.2 and 3.3.4, and (GB2) is easily obtained form the same propositions. Next, let us prove (GB3). By (4.5.2), and setting $\mu = \varpi_j$, $v = v_{\varpi_j}e_j$ and $u = u_{w_0\varpi_j}$, we have

$$(\sigma_j e_j)b_i^- - q_i^{-\langle h_i, \varpi_j - \alpha_j\rangle}b_i^-(\sigma_j e_j) = q_i^2(q_i - q_i^{-1})\Phi_{\varpi_j}(v_{\varpi_j}e_jf_i \otimes u_{w_0\varpi_j}).$$

If $i = j$, then $-\langle h_i, \varpi_i - \alpha_i\rangle = 1$ and $v_{\varpi_i}e_if_i = v_{\varpi_i}$. Therefore we have

$$(\sigma_i e_i)b_i^- - q_i b_i^-(\sigma_j e_j) = q_i^2(q_i - q_i^{-1})\sigma_i.$$

Since $b_i^- \sigma_i^{-1} = q_i^{-1}\sigma_i^{-1} b_i^-$, we have

$$q_i^{-1}(\sigma_i e_i)\sigma_i^{-1} b_i^- - q_i b_i^-(\sigma_j e_j)\sigma_i^{-1} = -q_i(1-q_i^2) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad q_i^{-2} b_i^+ b_i^- - b_i^- b_i^+ = -1$$

as desired. Otherwise, $-\langle h_i, \varpi_j - \alpha_j\rangle = -a_{i,j}$ and $v_{\varpi_j} e_j f_i = 0$. Therefore, we obtain

$$(\sigma_j e_j) b_i^- - q_i^{-a_{i,j}} b_i^-(\sigma_j e_j) = 0.$$

Since $b_i^- \sigma_j^{-1} = \sigma_j^{-1} b_i^-$, we have the desired result.

The remaining is to show (GB4). However, the first formula is already proved in [KOY], and the second one is proved by the similar argument. So we omit to give a detailed proof. □

Definition 3.6.7. *Let $\mathbb{B}_q = \mathbb{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be a unital associative algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ with generators e_i, f_i', $\sigma_i^{\pm 1}$, $\tau_i^{\pm 1}$ $(i \in I)$, and relations* (GB1)∼ (GB4)*, replacing $b_i^+ \leftrightarrow e_i$, $b_i^- \leftrightarrow f_i'$. We call it the generalized q-boson algebra associated with $\mathfrak{g}$.*

Remark . Recall the q-boson algebra $\mathcal{B}_q$ (see Definition 2.5.1). Obviously, $\mathcal{B}_q$ is a subalgebra of the generalized q-boson algebra $\mathbb{B}_q$ generated by e_i and f_i' $(i \in I)$.

The following assertion is obviously obtained from Corollary 3.6.5 and Proposition 3.6.6.

Proposition 3.6.8. (1) *There is a surjective $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra homomorphism $\mathbb{B}_q \twoheadrightarrow (A_q)_{\mathcal{S}}$ such that*

$$e_i \mapsto b_i^+, \quad f_i' \mapsto b_i^-, \quad \sigma_i^{\pm 1} \mapsto \sigma_i^{\pm 1}, \quad \tau_i^{\pm 1} \mapsto \tau_i^{\pm 1}.$$

(2) *For $i \in I$, the elements $c_i^{\pm 1} := \sigma_i^{\pm 1}\tau_i^{\mp 1}$ belong to the center of $\mathbb{B}_q$(resp. $(A_q)_{\mathcal{S}}$) .*

4. Tensor product modules over A_q

4.1. **Fock modules.** We recall the construction of an irreducible left $A_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module $\mathcal{F}_q$ (called the Fock module), following [KS] and [KOY]. Let $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) = \langle e, f, k^{\pm 1}\rangle$ be the quantum universal enveloping algebra associated with $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, and consider the irreducible representations $V^r(\varpi_1)$ and $V(\varpi_1)$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. Take highest weight vectors $v_1^{\varpi_1} = v_{\varpi_1} \in V^r(\varpi_1)$, $u_1^{\omega_1} = u_{\varpi_1} \in V(\varpi_1)$ so that $\langle v_{\varpi_1}, u_{\varpi_1}\rangle = 1$, and set $v_2^{\varpi_1} := v_1^{\varpi_1} e$, $u_2^{\varpi_1} = f u_1^{\varpi_1}$. Then $\{v_1^{\varpi_1}, v_2^{\varpi_1}\}$ and $\{u_1^{\varpi_1}, u_2^{\varpi_1}\}$ are basis of $V^r(\varpi_1)$ and $V(\varpi_1)$, respectively. Furthermore, they are dual to each other with respect to $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$. Set

$$t_{i,j} := \Phi(v_i^{\varpi_1} \otimes u_j^{\varpi_1}) \quad (1 \le i, j \le 2).$$

It is well-known that $A_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is generated by $t_{i,j}$ $(1 \le i, j \le 2)$ with the defining relations

$$t_{1,1}t_{2,1} = qt_{2,1}t_{1,1}, \quad t_{1,2}t_{2,2} = qt_{2,2}t_{1,2}, \quad t_{1,1}t_{1,2} = qt_{1,2}t_{1,1}, \quad t_{2,1}t_{2,2} = qt_{2,2}t_{2,1},$$
$$[t_{1,2}, t_{2,1}] = 0, \quad [t_{1,1}, t_{2,2}] = (q - q^{-1})t_{2,1}t_{1,2}, \quad t_{1,1}t_{2,2} - qt_{1,2}t_{2,1} = 1.$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_q$ be a vector space over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ which has a basis indexed by non-negative integers:

$$\mathcal{F}_q := \bigoplus_{m \ge 0} \mathbb{Q}(q)|m\rangle.$$

It is called the Fock space. Define operators $\mathbf{a}^+$, $\mathbf{a}^-$, $\mathbf{k} \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathcal{F}_q)$ by

$$\mathbf{a}^+|m\rangle := |m+1\rangle, \quad \mathbf{a}^-|m\rangle := (1 - q^{2m})|m-1\rangle, \quad \mathbf{k}|m\rangle := q^m|m\rangle.$$

We can define a left $A_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module structure on $\mathcal{F}_q$ by

$$\pi_q : \begin{pmatrix} t_{1,1} & t_{1,2} \\ t_{2,1} & t_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}^- & \mathbf{k} \\ -q\mathbf{k} & \mathbf{a}^+ \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 4.1.1 ([KS])**.** *As a left $A_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module, $\mathcal{F}_q$ is irreducible.*

4.2. **Tensor product modules.** For a fixed index $i \in I$, let $U_{q_i}(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,i})$ be a $\mathbb{Q}(q_i)$-subalgebra of U_q generated by $e_i, f_i, k_i^{\pm}$. As a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra, it is isomorphic to $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ via $e_i \mapsto e, f_i \mapsto f, k_i^{\pm 1} \mapsto k^{\pm 1}, q_i \mapsto q$. Hence, $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,i}) \cong \mathbb{Q}(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(q_i)} U_{q_i}(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,i})$. Here, $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,i})$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-subalgebra of U_q generated by $e_i, f_i, k_i^{\pm}$ which is introduced in Section 2.2.

Let $\iota^{\langle i \rangle} : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,i}) \hookrightarrow U_q$ be the canonical embedding. By taking its dual, we have a surjective morphism $(\iota^{\langle i \rangle})^* : A_q \twoheadrightarrow A_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,i})$. Here $A_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,i}) := \mathbb{Q}(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(q_i)} A_{q_i}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and $A_{q_i}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is the quantized coordinate ring associated with $U_{q_i}(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,i})$. For $\varphi \in A_q$, we denote $\varphi^{\langle i \rangle} := (\iota^{\langle i \rangle})^*(\varphi)$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{q_i} = \oplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathbb{Q}(q_i)|m\rangle_i$ be the Fock module over $A_{q_i}(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,i})$ and set $\mathcal{F}_i := \mathbb{Q}(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(q_i)} \mathcal{F}_{q_i}$. Hence, it is an irreducible left $A_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,i})$-module. Furthermore, through the surjection $(\iota^{\langle i \rangle})^*$, it can be regarded as an irreducible left A_q-module. The corresponding morphism denoted by $\pi_i : A_q \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathcal{F}_i)$.

Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \cdots, i_l)$ be a reduced word of $w \in W$. Set

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}} := \mathcal{F}_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{i_l}$$

and regard it as a left A_q-module via

$$\pi_{\mathbf{i}} : A_q \xrightarrow{\Delta^{(l-1)}} A_q \otimes \cdots \otimes A_q \xrightarrow{\pi_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_{i_l}} \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}).$$

Theorem 4.2.1 ([KS])**.** *Fix $w \in W$.*
(1) *For each reduced word $\mathbf{i}$ of w, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is an irreducible left A_q-module.*
(2) *Let $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \cdots, j_l)$ be another reduced word of $w \in W$. Then, as a left A_q-module, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{j}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$.*

For an l-tuples of nonnegative integer $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \cdots, m_l)$, set

$$|\mathbf{m}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} := |m_1\rangle_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes |m_l\rangle_{i_l} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}.$$

It is obvious that the set $\left\{ |\mathbf{m}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} \,\middle|\, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^l \right\}$ gives a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-basis of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$.

In the rest of this paper, we always assume $w = w_0$.

Proposition 4.2.2. *Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \cdots, i_N)$ be a reduced word of w_0. For every $\lambda \in P^+$, σ_λ and τ_λ act semisimply on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$. More explicitly, for $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \cdots, m_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$, we have*

$$\sigma_\lambda |\mathbf{m}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = \prod_{k=1}^{N} q^{m_k(\beta_k, \lambda)} |\mathbf{m}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}, \qquad \tau_\lambda |\mathbf{m}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = (-1)^{(2\rho', \lambda)} q^{(2\rho, \lambda)} \prod_{k=1}^{N} q^{m_k(\beta_k, \lambda)} |\mathbf{m}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}.$$

Here $\beta_k = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}}(\alpha_{i_k})$ and ρ (resp. ρ') is the Weyl vector of $\mathfrak{g}$ (resp. the Langlands dual ${}^L\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$).

Proof. Recall that $\sigma_\lambda = \Phi(v_\lambda \otimes u_{w_0\lambda})$ and $\tau_\lambda = \Phi(v_{w_0\lambda'} \otimes u_{\lambda'})$, where $\lambda' = -w_0\lambda \in P^+$. We claim that the following equalities hold:

$$\Phi(v_\lambda \otimes u_{w_0\lambda})\big|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}} = (t_{1,2})^{\langle h_{i_1}, \lambda \rangle} \otimes (t_{1,2})^{\langle h_{i_2}, s_{i_1}(\lambda) \rangle} \otimes \cdots \otimes (t_{1,2})^{\langle h_{i_N}, s_{i_{N-1}} \cdots s_{i_1}(\lambda) \rangle}, \quad (4.2.1)$$

$$\Phi(v_{w_0\lambda'} \otimes u_{\lambda'})\big|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}} = (t_{2,1})^{\langle h_{i_1}, s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_N}(\lambda') \rangle} \otimes (t_{2,1})^{\langle h_{i_2}, s_{i_3} \cdots s_{i_N}(\lambda') \rangle} \otimes \cdots \otimes (t_{2,1})^{\langle h_{i_N}, \lambda' \rangle}. \quad (4.2.2)$$

Indeed, (4.2.2) is proved in [KS] (see Proposition 6.1.5 and 6.2.2 of [KS]), and (4.2.1) is obtained by a similar method. The first formula in the proposition is an immediate

consequence of (4.2.1). By (4.2.2), we have

$$\tau^{\lambda}|\mathbf{m}\rangle = \prod_{k=1}^{N}(-q_{i_k}^{m_k+1})^{\langle h_{i_k}, s_{i_{k+1}}\cdots s_{i_N}(-w_0\lambda)\rangle}|\mathbf{m}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = \prod_{k=1}^{N}(-q_{i_k}^{m_k+1})^{\langle h_{i_k}, s_{i_{k-1}}\cdots s_{i_1}(\lambda)\rangle}|\mathbf{m}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}$$
$$= (-1)^{(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\beta_k^{\vee},\lambda)}q^{(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\beta_k,\lambda)}\prod_{k=1}^{N}q^{m_k(\beta_k,\lambda)}|\mathbf{m}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}},$$

where $\beta_k^{\vee} = \dfrac{2\beta_k}{(\beta_k,\beta_k)}$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{N}\beta_k = 2\rho$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{N}\beta_k^{\vee} = 2\rho'$, the second formula is obtained. □

By the semisimplicity of σ_λ and τ_λ ($\lambda \in P^+$), $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$ can be regarded as a left $(A_q)_{\mathcal{S}}$-module. Especially, for every $\lambda \in P$, the action of σ_λ and τ_λ can be defined on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$. For $\beta \in Q$, set

$$(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}})_\beta := \big\{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}} \;\big|\; \sigma_\lambda\mathbf{u} = q^{(\beta,\lambda)}\mathbf{u} \text{ for every } \lambda \in P\big\}.$$

We call $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}})_\beta$ is the weight space of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$ of weight β. By Proposition 4.2.2, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$ admites a weight space decomposition

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}} = \bigoplus_{\beta\in Q}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}})_\beta.$$

The next corollary is obvious.

Corollary 4.2.3. (1) *The set* $Q(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}) := \big\{\beta \in Q \;\big|\; (\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}})_\beta \neq \{0\}\big\}$ *coincides with* Q^+.
(2) *The lowest weight space* $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}})_0$ *is spanned by* $|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} := |0\rangle_{i_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes|0\rangle_{i_N}$.

Proposition 4.2.4. *As a vector space, we have*

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}} = (A_q^+)_{\mathcal{S}^+}|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = A_q^+|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}.$$

Proof. Note that, since $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is irreducible, it is generated by $|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}$ as a left module over $(A_q)_{\mathcal{S}} = B_q$. Consider a subspace $B_q^-|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$. It is clear that $\mathbb{Q}(q)|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} \subset B_q^-|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}$. By Proposition 4.2.2, we have

$$\sigma_\lambda|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = |\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} \quad \text{for every } \lambda \in P. \tag{4.2.3}$$

Since $\sigma_\lambda b_j^- = q^{-(\lambda,\alpha_j)}b_j\sigma_\lambda$ by Proposition 3.6.6, we have

$$\sigma_\lambda\big(b_j^-|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}\big) = q^{-(\lambda,\alpha_j)}b_j^-\sigma_\lambda|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = q^{-(\lambda,\alpha_j)}b_j^-|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}.$$

This means $b_j^-|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}$ is a weight vector of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$ of weight $-\alpha_j$. However, by Corollary 4.2.3, there is no such a vector in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$. Therefore, we have $b_j^-|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = 0$. By a similar argument, we have $B_q^-|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathbb{Q}(q)|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}$. By Proposition 3.3.7 and Corollary 3.6.4, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}} = B_q^+|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = (A_q^+)_{\mathcal{S}^+}|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}.$$

By using (4.2.3), we have

$$(A_q^+)_{\mathcal{S}^+}|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = A_q^+|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}.$$

Thus, we finish the proof. □

We regard $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$ as a left $\mathcal{B}_q$-module through the composition $\mathcal{B}_q \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}_q \twoheadrightarrow (A_q)_{\mathcal{S}}$.

Theorem 4.2.5. *As a left* $\mathcal{B}_q$*-module,* $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$ *is isomorphic to* U_q^+.

Proof. Note that, by the composition $\mathcal{B}_q \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}_q \twoheadrightarrow (A_q)_{\mathcal{S}}$, e_i and f_i' are mapped to b_i^+ and b_i^-, respectively. Let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$. We may assume $\mathbf{u} \in \big(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}\big)_\beta$ for some $\beta = \sum_{i\in I} m_i\alpha_i \in Q^+$ ($m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$). Take a positive integer l so that $l > \sum_{i\in I} m_i$, and consider an arbitrary l-tuple of indices $i_1, \cdots, i_l \in I$. Hence, $b_{i_1}^- \cdots b_{i_l}^- \mathbf{u}$ is a weight vector of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$ of weight $\beta - \sum_{k=1}^l \alpha_{i_k}$. However, by the condition $l > \sum_{i\in I} m_i$, $\beta - \sum_{k=1}^l \alpha_{i_k}$ does not belong to Q^+. Therefore we have $b_{i_1}^- \cdots b_{i_l}^- \mathbf{u} = 0$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{B}_q)$. By the uniqueness of simple objects in $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{B}_q)$, we have the statement. □

Let $F_{\mathbf{i}} : U_q^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$ be an isomorphism of left $\mathcal{B}_q$-modules. It is uniquely determined under the condition that $F_{\mathbf{i}}(1) = |\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}$. Hence, we have

$$F_{\mathbf{i}}(e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_l}) = b_{i_1}^+ b_{i_2}^+ \cdots b_{i_l}^+ |\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} \quad \text{for every } i_1, i_2 \cdots i_l \in I.$$

Especially, we have the following.

Corollary 4.2.6. *For $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \cdots, m_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$, let $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{i}}^+(\mathbf{m})$ be the image of $\mathbf{e}'_{\mathbf{i},1}(\mathbf{m})$ under the composition $\mathcal{B}_q \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}_q \twoheadrightarrow (A_q)_{\mathcal{S}}$. Then the set $\big\{\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{i}}^+(\mathbf{m})|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} \,\big|\, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N\big\}$ forms a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-basis of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$.*

4.3. **KOY theorem.** In this subsection, we will give the explicit statement of KOY theorem, following [KOY]. We introduce a normalized basis $\big\{\,|\mathbf{m}\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} \,\big|\, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N\big\}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$ as follows. Set

$$|m_k\rangle\rangle_{i_k} := \frac{q_{i_k}^{-m_k(m_k-1)/2}}{(1-q_{i_k}^2)^{m_k}} |m_k\rangle_{i_k} \quad \text{for each } 1 \leq k \leq N,$$

and

$$|\mathbf{m}\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} := |m_1\rangle\rangle_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes |m_N\rangle\rangle_{i_N}.$$

We note that

$$|\mathbf{0}\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = |\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}.$$

Let $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \cdots, j_N)$ be another reduced word of w_0. By Theorem 4.2.1, there exists a unique isomorphism of left A_q-modules $\Psi : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{j}}$ such that $\Psi\big(|\mathbf{0}\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}\big) = |\mathbf{0}\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{j}}$. We define the matrix element $\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{n}}$ of Ψ by

$$\Psi\big(|\mathbf{m}\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}\big) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N} \Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{n}} |\mathbf{n}\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{j}}.$$

Consider PBW-type bases $\big\{\mathbf{e}'_{\mathbf{i},1}(\mathbf{m}) \,\big|\, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{N}}\big\}$ and $\big\{\mathbf{e}'_{\mathbf{j},1}(\mathbf{n}) \,\big|\, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{N}}\big\}$ of U_q^+. Their transition coefficients $\Gamma_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{n}}$ are defined to be

$$\mathbf{e}'_{\mathbf{i},1}(\mathbf{m}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq \mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{N}}} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{e}'_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{n}).$$

Under the convention above, KOY theorem is formulated as follows.

Theorem 4.3.1 (KOY theorem [KOY])**.** *For every $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$, we have*

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{n}} = \Gamma_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{n}}.$$

In [KOY], they prove the theorem above by using case-by-case checking in rank 2 cases. In the lest of this article, we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3.2. *For every $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$, we have*

$$\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{i}}^+(\mathbf{m})|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = |\mathbf{m}\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}.$$

By Theorem 4.3.2, we obtain KOY theorem as an immediate corollary. Furthermore, let $\pi_{\mathbf{i}}(\varphi) = \big(\pi_{\mathbf{i}}(\varphi)_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}\big)$ be the representation matrix of $\varphi \in (A_q)_{\mathcal{S}}$ in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$:

$$\varphi|\mathbf{m}\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = \sum_{\mathbf{n}} |\mathbf{n}\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} \pi_{\mathbf{i}}(\varphi)_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{m}}.$$

Let further $\rho_{\mathbf{i}}(X) = \big(\rho_{\mathbf{i}}(X)_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}\big)$ be the matrix of the left multiplication of $X \in U_q^+$ with respect to the PBW basis:

$$X\mathbf{e}'_{\mathbf{i},1}(\mathbf{m}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{e}'_{\mathbf{i},1}(\mathbf{n}) \rho_{\mathbf{i}}(X)_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{m}}.$$

The following corollary is easily valid from Theorem 4.2.5 and 4.3.2.

Corollary 4.3.3.

$$\pi_{\mathbf{i}}(b_i^+)_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} = \rho_{\mathbf{i}}(e_i)_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}.$$

Remark . In [KOY], this statement is proved for rank 2 cases (Proposition 12) and conjectured for arbitrary cases (Conjecture 13).

5. Drinfeld paring

In this section, we recall a construction of the Drinfeld paring $(\ ,\ )_D : U_q^{\geq 0} \times U_q^{\leq 0} \to \mathbb{Q}(q)$, following Tanisaki [T1].

5.1. **Definition.** Let $\iota^{\leq 0} : U_q^{\leq 0} \hookrightarrow U_q$ be the canonical embedding, and $\big(\iota^{\leq 0}\big)^* : U_q^* \to \big(U_q^{\leq 0}\big)^*$ its dual. Set $A_q(\mathfrak{b}^-) := \big(\iota^{\leq 0}\big)^*(A_q) \subset \big(U_q^{\leq 0}\big)^*$. Since $U_q^{\leq 0}$ is a Hopf algebra, $A_q(\mathfrak{b}^-)$ has a Hopf algebra structure induced from one of $U_q^{\leq 0}$. For $\varphi \in A_q$, we set $\varphi^{\leq 0} := \big(\iota^{\leq 0}\big)^*(\varphi) \in A_q(\mathfrak{b}^-)$. Especially, we denote $\Phi^{\leq 0}(v \otimes u) := \big(\Phi(v \otimes u)\big)^{\leq 0}$.

For $\lambda \in P$ and $i \in I$, define ϕ_λ and $\psi_i \in \big(U_q^{\leq 0}\big)^*$ as follows. For $\beta \in Q, Y \in U_q^-$, set

$$\phi_\lambda(k^\beta Y) := q^{(\lambda,\beta)}\varepsilon(Y),$$
$$\psi_i(k^\beta Y) := \begin{cases} \kappa & \text{if } \beta \in Q,\ Y = \kappa f_i\ (\kappa \in \mathbb{Q}(q)), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 5.1.1. *For every $\lambda \in P$ and $i \in I$, both ϕ_λ and ψ_i belong to $A_q(\mathfrak{b}^-)$.*

Proof. First, let us prove $\phi_\lambda \in A_q(\mathfrak{b}^-)$. Write $\lambda = \lambda_+ - \lambda_-$ with $\lambda_\pm \in P^+$ and set $\lambda'_- := -w_0\lambda_- \in P^+$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\langle \Phi^{\leq 0}(v_{\lambda_+} \otimes u_{\lambda_+}) \Phi^{\leq 0}(v_{w_0\lambda'_-} \otimes u_{w_0\lambda'_-}), k^\beta Y \big\rangle \\
&\quad = \sum \big\langle \Phi^{\leq 0}(v_{\lambda_+} \otimes u_{\lambda_+}) \otimes \Phi^{\leq 0}(v_{w_0\lambda'_-} \otimes u_{w_0\lambda'_-}), k^\beta Y_{(1)} \otimes k^\beta Y_{(2)} \big\rangle \\
&\quad = \sum \big\langle v_{\lambda_+} k^\beta Y_{(1)}, u_{\lambda_+} \big\rangle \big\langle v_{w_0\lambda'_-}, k^\beta Y_{(2)} u_{w_0\lambda'_-} \big\rangle \\
&\quad = q^{(\lambda_+,\beta)} \big\langle v_{\lambda_+}, u_{\lambda_+} \big\rangle \big\langle v_{w_0\lambda'_-}, k^\beta Y u_{w_0\lambda'_-} \big\rangle \\
&\quad = q^{(\lambda_+,\beta)} \big\langle v_{\lambda_+}, u_{\lambda_+} \big\rangle \big\langle v_{w_0\lambda'_-}, k^\beta u_{w_0\lambda'_-} \big\rangle \varepsilon(Y) \\
&\quad = q^{(\lambda_+ + w_0\lambda'_-,\beta)} \big\langle v_{\lambda_+}, u_{\lambda_+} \big\rangle \big\langle v_{w_0\lambda'_-}, u_{w_0\lambda'_-} \big\rangle \varepsilon(Y) \\
&\quad = q^{(\lambda,\beta)} \varepsilon(Y).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we use $(\varepsilon \otimes 1)\big(\Delta(Y) - 1 \otimes Y\big) = 0$ in the third equality. This shows that $\Phi^{\leq 0}(v_{\lambda_+} \otimes u_{\lambda_+}) \Phi^{\leq 0}(v_{w_0\lambda'_-} \otimes u_{w_0\lambda'_-}) \in A_q(\mathfrak{b}^-)$ coincides with ϕ_λ. Therefore we have the assertion (1).

Second, let us prove $\psi_i \in A_q(\mathfrak{b}^-)$. Consider an element $\psi_i' := \phi_{-\varpi_i+\alpha_i}\Phi^{\leq 0}(v_{\varpi_i}e_i \otimes u_{\varpi_i}) \in A_q(B^-)$. Our goal is to prove $\psi_i' = \psi_i$. By a similar argument as the previous proof, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \psi_i', k^\beta Y\rangle &= \sum \langle \phi_{-\varpi_i+\alpha_i} \otimes \Phi^{\leq 0}(v_{\varpi_i}e_i \otimes u_{\varpi_i}), k^\beta Y_{(1)} \otimes k^\mu Y_{(2)}\rangle \\ &= \sum \langle \phi_{-\varpi_i+\alpha_i}, k^\beta Y_{(1)}\rangle \langle v_{\varpi_i}e_i k^\beta Y_{(2)}, u_{\varpi_i}\rangle \\ &= \langle \phi_{-\varpi_i+\alpha_i}, k^\beta\rangle \langle v_{\varpi_i}e_i k^\beta Y, u_{\varpi_i}\rangle \\ &= \langle v_{\varpi_i}e_i Y, u_{\varpi_i}\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Assume $Y = \kappa f_i$ ($\kappa \in \mathbb{Q}(q)$). Since $\Delta(Y) = \kappa(1 \otimes f_i + f_i \otimes k_i^{-1})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{the last term} &= \kappa \langle v_{\varpi_i}e_i f_i, u_{\varpi_i}\rangle \\ &= \kappa.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $Y \neq \kappa f_i$ ($\kappa \in \mathbb{Q}(q)$), the last term is equal to zero. Therefore we have

$$\psi_i = \psi_i' = \phi_{-\varpi_i+\alpha_i}\Phi^{\leq 0}(v_{\varpi_i}e_i \otimes u_{\varpi_i}) \in A_q(\mathfrak{b}^-) \tag{5.1.1}$$

as desired. □

Lemma 5.1.2. (1) *The element ϕ_0 is the unit of $A_q(\mathfrak{b}^-)$.*

(2) *For $\lambda, \mu \in P$, we have $\phi_\lambda\phi_\mu = \phi_{\lambda+\mu}$.*

(3) *For $\lambda \in P$, ϕ_λ is an invertible element and its inverse ϕ_λ^{-1} is equal to $\phi_{-\lambda}$.*

Proof. We remark that $\varepsilon^{\leq 0}$ is the unit of $A_q(\mathfrak{b}^-)$. By the definition, we have

$$\langle \phi_0, k^\beta Y\rangle = \varepsilon(Y) = \varepsilon(k^\beta Y) \quad \text{for } \beta \in Q,\ Y \in U_q^-.$$

Thus, we have the assertion (1). The assertion (2) follows form direct computation. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \phi_\lambda\phi_\mu, k^\beta Y\rangle &= \sum \langle \phi_\lambda, k^\beta Y_{(1)}\rangle \langle \phi_\mu, k^\beta Y_{(2)}\rangle = \langle \phi_\lambda, k^\beta\rangle \langle \phi_\mu, k^\beta Y\rangle = q^{(\lambda+\mu,\beta)}\varepsilon(Y) \\ &= \langle \phi_{\lambda+\mu}, k^\beta Y\rangle\end{aligned}$$

as desired. Here we use $(\varepsilon \otimes 1)\big(\Delta(Y) - 1 \otimes Y\big) = 0$ in the second equality. The assertion (3) is a direct consequence of (1) and (2). □

Lemma 5.1.3. (1) *Let $\varphi = \Phi^{\leq 0}(v \otimes u_\lambda)$ with $\lambda \in P^+$ and $v \in V^r(\lambda)_\xi$. Then, we have*

$$\phi_\mu\varphi = q^{(\mu,\lambda-\xi)}\varphi\phi_\mu \quad \text{for } \mu \in P.$$

Especially, for $i, j \in I$, we have $\phi_{\alpha_i}\psi_j = q_i^{\langle h_i,\alpha_j\rangle}\psi_j\phi_{\alpha_j}$.

(2) *The elements ψ_i ($i \in I$) satisfy the q-Serre relations.*

Proof. Let us prove (1). We may assume $Y \in \big(U_q^-\big)_{-\gamma}$. By the formula $(\varepsilon \otimes 1)\big(\Delta(Y) - 1 \otimes Y\big) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \phi_\mu\varphi, k^\beta Y\rangle &= \sum \langle \phi_\mu, k^\beta Y_{(1)}\rangle \langle \varphi, k^\beta Y_{(2)}\rangle = \langle \phi_\mu, k^\beta\rangle \langle \varphi, k^\beta Y\rangle \\ &= q^{(\mu,\beta)}\langle \varphi, k^\beta Y\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by $(1 \otimes \varepsilon)\big(\Delta(Y) - Y \otimes k^{-\gamma}\big) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \varphi\phi_\mu, k^\beta Y\rangle &= \sum \langle \varphi, k^\beta Y_{(1)}\rangle \langle \phi_\mu, k^\beta Y_{(2)}\rangle = \langle \varphi, k^\beta Y\rangle \langle \phi_\mu, k^{\beta-\gamma}\rangle = q^{(\mu,\beta-\gamma)}\langle \varphi, k^\beta Y\rangle \\ &= q^{(\mu,\beta-\gamma)}\big\langle \Phi(v \otimes u_\lambda), k^\beta Y\big\rangle = q^{(\mu,\beta-\gamma)}\langle v, k^\beta Y u_\lambda\rangle\delta_{\xi,\lambda-\gamma} \\ &= q^{(\mu,\beta)-(\mu,\lambda-\xi)}\langle \varphi, k^\beta Y\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\phi_\mu \varphi = q^{(\mu, \lambda - \xi)} \varphi \phi_\mu,$$

as desiard. The assertion (2) can be obtained form (5.1.1) and the assertion (1), by using a similar technique as above. So we omit to give a proof in detail. □

Let ζ be a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra homomorphism from $U_q^{\geq 0}$ to $A_q(\mathfrak{b}^-)$ defined by

$$\zeta(k^\beta) := \phi_\beta, \qquad \zeta(e_i) := \frac{\psi_i}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \qquad \text{for } \beta \in Q,\ i \in I.$$

Definition 5.1.4. *Define the bilinear form* $(\ ,\ )_D : U_q^{\geq 0} \times U_q^{\leq 0} \to \mathbb{Q}(q)$ *by*

$$(X, Y)_D := \langle \zeta(X), Y \rangle \quad \textit{for } X \in U_q^{\geq 0}, Y \in U_q^{\leq 0}.$$

We call it the Drinfeld (or the skew Hopf) pairing.

Proposition 5.1.5 ([T1])**.** *The Drinfeld pairing* $(\ ,\ )_D$ *is a unique bilinear form which satisfies the following conditions:*

(1) $(X_1X_2, Y)_D = (X_1 \otimes X_2, \Delta(Y))_D$ *for* $X_1, X_2 \in U_q^{\geq 0}, Y \in U_q^{\leq 0}$,
(2) $(X, Y_1Y_2)_D = (\Delta(X), Y_2 \otimes Y_1)_D$ *for* $X \in U_q^{\geq 0}, Y_1, Y_2 \in U_q^{\leq 0}$,
(3) $(k^\beta, k^\gamma)_D = q^{(\beta,\gamma)}$ *for* $\beta, \gamma \in Q$,
(4) $(e_i, k^\beta)_D = 0$ *and* $(k^\beta, f_i)_D = 0$ *for* $i \in I, \beta \in Q$,
(5) $(e_i, f_j)_D = \dfrac{\delta_{i,j}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}$ *for* $i, j \in I$.

It is known that the Drinfeld pairing $(\ ,\ )_D$ satisfies the following properties (see [T1] in detail):

$$(S(X), S(Y))_D = (X, Y)_D \quad \text{for } X \in U_q^{\geq 0}, Y \in U_q^{\leq 0}, \tag{5.1.2}$$

$$(k^\beta X, k^\gamma Y)_D = q^{(\beta,\gamma)}(X, Y)_D \quad \text{for } \beta, \gamma \in Q, X \in U_q^+, Y \in U_q^-, \tag{5.1.3}$$

$$\big((U_q^+)_\beta, (U_q^-)_{-\gamma}\big)_D = 0 \quad \text{for } \beta, \gamma \in Q^+ \text{ with } \beta \neq \gamma. \tag{5.1.4}$$

$$\text{the restriction } (\ ,\ )_D\big|_{(U_q^+)_\beta \times (U_q^-)_{-\beta}} \text{ is nondegenerate.} \tag{5.1.5}$$

Moreover the following formula is due to Lusztig.

Proposition 5.1.6 ([L])**.**

$$\big(\mathbf{e}''_{\mathbf{i},-1}(\mathbf{m}), \mathbf{f}''_{\mathbf{i},-1}(\mathbf{n})\big)_D = \delta_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} \prod_{k=1}^{N} \frac{q_{i_k}^{-m_k(m_k-1)/2}[m_k]_{i_k}!}{(q_{i_k} - q_{i_k}^{-1})^{m_k}}.$$

5.2. **Reconstruction of** $(\ ,\ )_D$**.** Define a map $\eta : A_q \to A_q(\mathfrak{b}^-)$ by

$$\eta(\varphi) := (S_{w_0}\varphi)^{\leq 0}.$$

From now on, the restriction $\eta\big|_{A_q^+}$ of η to A_q^+ is also denoted by η, for simplicity.

Lemma 5.2.1. *The map* $\eta : A_q^+ \to A_q(\mathfrak{b}^-)$ *is a* $\mathbb{Q}(q)$*-algebra homomorphism.*

Proof. Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in A_q^+$. We may assume $\varphi_i = \Phi(v_i \otimes u_{w_0\lambda_i})$, where $\lambda_i \in P^+$ and $v_i \in V^r(\lambda_i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$. Note that

$$\eta(\varphi_i) = \eta\big(\Phi(v_i \otimes u_{w_0\lambda_i})\big) = \Phi^{\leq 0}(v_i \otimes S_{w_0}u_{w_0\lambda_i}) = \Phi^{\leq 0}(v_i \otimes u_{\lambda_i}).$$

By Proposition 2.3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(\varphi_1\varphi_2) &= \Phi^{\le 0}\big((v_1\otimes v_2)\otimes \Delta(S_{w_0})(u_{w_0\lambda_1}\otimes u_{w_0\lambda_2})\big)\\ &= \Phi^{\le 0}\big((v_1\otimes v_2)\otimes (S_{w_0}u_{w_0\lambda_1}\otimes S_{w_0}u_{w_0\lambda_2})\big)\\ &= \Phi^{\le 0}(v_1\otimes u_{\lambda_1})\Phi^{\le 0}(v_2\otimes u_{\lambda_2})\\ &= \eta(\varphi_1)\eta(\varphi_2).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the lemma is obtained. □

Lemma 5.2.2. *For $\lambda\in P^+$, we have $\eta(\sigma_\lambda)=\phi_\lambda$. Especially, the image of σ_λ is invertible in $A_q(B^-)$.*

Proof. By the definition, we have $\eta(\sigma_\lambda)=\Phi^{\le 0}(v_\lambda\otimes u_\lambda)$. Therefore, for $\beta\in Q, Y\in U_q^-$, we have

$$\langle \eta(\sigma_\lambda), k^\beta Y\rangle = \langle \Phi^{\le 0}(v_\lambda\otimes u_\lambda), k^\beta Y\rangle = \langle v_\lambda k^\beta Y, u_\lambda\rangle = q^{(\lambda,\beta)}\varepsilon(Y).$$

This shows $\eta(\sigma_\lambda)=\phi_\lambda$. □

We can define an extended $\mathbb{Q}(q)$- algebra homomorphism $\widetilde{\eta}:(A_q^+)_{\mathcal{S}^+}\to A_q(\mathfrak{b}^-)$ of η by

$$\varphi\sigma_\lambda^{-1} \quad\mapsto\quad \eta(\varphi)\phi_{-\lambda} \qquad \text{for } \varphi\in A_q^+,\ \lambda\in P^+.$$

Then we have

$$\widetilde{\eta}(\sigma_\lambda)=\phi_\lambda \quad \text{for every } \lambda\in P.$$

Lemma 5.2.3. *There exists a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra homomorphism $\widetilde{\zeta}:U_q^{\ge 0}\to (A_q^+)_{\mathcal{S}^+}$ defined by*

$$\widetilde{\zeta}\big(S(k^\beta)\big)=\widetilde{\zeta}(k^{-\beta}):=\sigma_{-\beta},\quad \widetilde{\zeta}\big(S(e_i)\big)=\widetilde{\zeta}(-k_i^{-1}e_i):=b_i^+ \quad \textit{for } \beta\in Q \textit{ and } i\in I.$$

Proof. It is enough to show that they satisfy the opposite of the defining relations of $U_q^{\ge 0}$ in $(A_q^+)_{\mathcal{S}^+}$. However, it is obvious by Proposition 3.6.6. □

Since $\widetilde{\eta}$ is an algebra homomorphism, $b_i^+=\widetilde{\zeta}(-k_i^{-1}e_i)=-\widetilde{\zeta}(k_i^{-1})\widetilde{\zeta}(e_i)=-\sigma_{-\alpha_i}\widetilde{\zeta}(e_i)$. Therefore we have

$$\widetilde{\zeta}(e_i)=-\sigma_{\alpha_i}b_i^+.$$

Proposition 5.2.4. (1) *We have $\zeta=\widetilde{\eta}\circ\widetilde{\zeta}$.*
(2) *Let $\varphi\in \operatorname{Im}\widetilde{\zeta}$ and $X\in U_q^{\ge 0}$ so that $\widetilde{\zeta}(X)=\varphi$. Then we have*

$$(X,Y)_D=\langle\zeta(X),Y\rangle=\langle\widetilde{\eta}(\varphi),Y\rangle \quad \textit{for every } Y\in U_q^{\le 0}.$$

Especially, we have

$$(X,S(Y))_D=\langle\widetilde{\eta}(\varphi),S(Y)\rangle \quad \textit{for every } Y\in U_q(\mathfrak{b}^-). \tag{5.2.2}$$

Proof. Let us prove (1). It is enough to show the statement for generators of $U_q^{\ge 0}$. Furthermore, since it is trivial for k^β, we only prove it for e_i. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\eta}\circ\widetilde{\zeta}(e_i)=\widetilde{\eta}(-\sigma_{\alpha_i}b_i^+)=\widetilde{\eta}\left(-\sigma_{\alpha_i}\frac{(\sigma_i e_i)\sigma_i^{-1}}{1-q_i^2}\right)&=\frac{\widetilde{\eta}(\sigma_{-\varpi_i+\alpha_i})\widetilde{\eta}\big(\Phi(v_{\varpi_i}e_i\otimes u_{w_0\varpi_i})\big)}{q_i-q_i^{-1}}\\ =\frac{\phi_{-\varpi_i+\alpha_i}\Phi^{\le 0}(v_{\varpi_i}e_i\otimes u_{\varpi_i})}{q_i-q_i^{-1}}&=\frac{\psi_i}{q_i-q_i^{-1}}=\zeta(e_i).\end{aligned}$$

The assertion (2) is obvious by the construction. □

6. A proof of Theorem 4.3.2

6.1. **A pairing between $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$ and U_q^-.** Let B_q^{++} be a subalgebra of B_q^+ generated by b_i^+ $(i \in I)$. We note that, for each $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$, there exists a unique $\psi \in B_q^{++}$ such that $\mathbf{u} = \psi|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}$. This fact follows from Corollary 4.2.6.

Let $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}} \times U_q^- \to \mathbb{Q}(q)$ be a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-bilinear form defined by

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, Y\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} := \langle \widetilde{\eta}(\psi), S(Y)\rangle,$$

where $\psi \in B_q^{++}$ so that $\mathbf{u} = \psi|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}$ and $Y \in U_q^-$.

Lemma 6.1.1. *We have* $\widetilde{\zeta}\big(S\big(\mathbf{e}''_{\mathbf{i},-1}(\mathbf{m})\big)\big) = \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{i}}^+(\mathbf{m})$.

Proof. The statement is easy consequence of Lemma 2.3.2 and Lemma 5.2.3. □

Proposition 6.1.2. *We have*

$$\big\langle \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{i}}^+(\mathbf{m})|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{f}''_{\mathbf{i},-1}(\mathbf{n})\big\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = \delta_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} \prod_{k=1}^{N} \frac{q_{i_k}^{-m_k(m_k-1)/2}[m_k]_{i_k}!}{(q_{i_k} - q_{i_k}^{-1})^{m_k}}.$$

Especially, the bilinear form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathbf{i}}$ is perfect.

Proof. By (5.1.2), Proposition 5.1.6, Proposition 5.2.4 and Lemma 6.1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\langle \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{i}}^+(\mathbf{m})|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{f}''_{\mathbf{i},-1}(\mathbf{n})\big\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} &= \Big\langle \widetilde{\eta}\Big(\widetilde{\zeta}\big(S\big(\mathbf{e}''_{\mathbf{i},-1}(\mathbf{m})\big)\big)\Big), S\big(\mathbf{f}''_{\mathbf{i},-1}(\mathbf{n})\big)\Big\rangle \\
&= \big\langle \zeta\big(S\big(\mathbf{e}''_{\mathbf{i},-1}(\mathbf{m})\big)\big), S\big(\mathbf{f}''_{\mathbf{i},-1}(\mathbf{n})\big)\big\rangle \\
&= \big(S\big(\mathbf{e}''_{\mathbf{i},-1}(\mathbf{m})\big), S\big(\mathbf{f}''_{\mathbf{i},-1}(\mathbf{n})\big)\big)_D \\
&= \big(\mathbf{e}''_{\mathbf{i},-1}(\mathbf{m}), \mathbf{f}''_{\mathbf{i},-1}(\mathbf{n})\big)_D \\
&= \delta_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} \prod_{k=1}^{N} \frac{q_{i_k}^{-m_k(m_k-1)/2}[m_k]_{i_k}!}{(q_{i_k} - q_{i_k}^{-1})^{m_k}}.
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

6.2. **The case of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$.** In this case, $I = \{1\}$. Furthermore, $e_1 = e, f_1 = f, k_1^{\pm 1} = k^{\pm 1}$, $w_0 = s_1$ and $q_1 = q$. In the following, we introduce some terminologies in the case of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$.

Let $V(l) = V(l\varpi_1)$ (*resp.* $V^r(l) = V^r(l\varpi_1)$) be the $(l+1)$-dimensional irreducible left (*reap.* right) $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module. Fix a highest weight vector $u_0^{(l)} = u_{l\varpi_1}$ of $V(l)$ and set $u_k^{(l)} := f^{(k)}u_0^{(l)}$ for $1 \le k \le l$. Take a highest weight vector $v_0^{(l)} = v_{l\varpi_1}$ of $V^r(l)$ so that $\langle v_0^{(l)}, u_0^{(l)}\rangle = 1$ and set $v_k^{(l)} := v_0^{(l)}e^{(k)}$ for $1 \le k \le l$.

Lemma 6.2.1. (1) *For $0 \le k \le l$, we have*

$$S_1 u_k^{(l)} = (-1)^{l-k} q^{(l-k)(k+1)} u_{l-k}^{(l)} \quad \textit{and} \quad S_1^{-1} u_k^{(l)} = (-1)^k q^{-k(l-k+1)} u_{l-k}^{(l)}.$$

Especially, we have $S_1 u_l^{(l)} = u_0^{(l)}$ and $S_1^{-1} u_0^{(l)} = u_l^{(l)}$.

(2) *For $0 \le k \le l$, we have*

$$v_k^{(l)} S_1 = (-1)^{(l-k)} q^{k(l-k+1)} v_{l-k}^{(l)} \quad \textit{and} \quad v_k^{(l)} S_1^{-1} = (-1)^k q^{-(l-k)(k+1)} v_{l-k}^{(l)}.$$

Especially, we have $v_0^{(1)} S_1 = v_l^{(l)}$ and $v_l^{(l)} S_1^{-1} = v_0^{(l)}$.

Proof. The first formula in the assertion (1) is proved in [Sa]. The others follow form this immediately. □

Recall the generators $t_{i,j}$ $(1 \le i,j \le 2)$ of $A_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ introduced in Subsection 4.1. Under the convention above, $t_{i,j} = \Phi\big(v_{i-1}^{(1)} \otimes u_{j-1}^{(1)}\big)$. By the definition of the lowest weight vector $u_{s_1\varpi_1} \in V(\varpi_1)$ and Lemma 6.2.1, we have $u_{s_1\varpi_1} = S_1^{-1}u_0^{(1)} = u_1^{(1)}$. Hence we obtain

$$\sigma_1 = \Phi\big(v_0^{(1)} \otimes u_1^{(1)}\big) = t_{1,2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_1 e = \Phi\big(v_0^{(1)} e \otimes u_1^{(1)}\big) = \Phi\big(v_1^{(1)} \otimes u_1^{(1)}\big) = t_{2,2}.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$b^+ := b_1^+ = \frac{t_{2,2}t_{1,2}^{-1}}{1-q^2} \quad \text{and} \quad b^+(m) := \big(b^+\big)^m = \frac{q^{-m(m-1)/2}}{(1-q^2)^m} t_{2,2}^m t_{1,2}^{-m}.$$

Now, we can easily see Theorem 4.3.2 for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ by direct computation. Indeed, we have

$$b^+(m)|0\rangle = \big(b^+\big)^m|0\rangle = \frac{q^{-m(m-1)/2}}{(1-q^2)^m}|m\rangle = |m\rangle\rangle. \tag{6.2.1}$$

By Proposition 6.1.2 in the case of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, we have

$$\big\langle |m\rangle\rangle, f^n \big\rangle_1 = \delta_{m,n} \frac{q^{-m(m-1)/2}[m]!}{(q-q^{-1})^m}. \tag{6.2.2}$$

In the rest of this subsection, we will prove the next proposition.

Proposition 6.2.2. *Let $\varphi \in A_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and assume $\varphi|0\rangle = 0$. Then we have $\langle S_1\varphi, S(Y)\rangle = 0$ for every $Y \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.*

If the proposition above is proved, the next corollary immediately follows.

Corollary 6.2.3. *For every $\varphi \in A_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and $Y \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, we have*

$$\big\langle \varphi|0\rangle, Y \big\rangle_1 = \langle S_1\varphi, S(Y)\rangle.$$

Proof of Proposition 6.2.2. Each element $\varphi \in A_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ can be written as

$$\varphi = \sum_{a,b,c,d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}} \kappa_{a,b,c,d} t_{2,2}^a t_{1,2}^b t_{2,1}^c t_{1,1}^d \quad \text{for } \kappa_{a,b,c,d} \in \mathbb{Q}(q).$$

By the definition of the action of $A_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ on the Fock space, we have

$$t_{2,2}^a t_{1,2}^b t_{2,1}^c t_{1,1}^d |0\rangle = \delta_{d,0}(-q)^c |a\rangle.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\varphi|0\rangle = \sum_{a,b,c} (-q)^c \kappa_{a,b,c,0}|a\rangle.$$

Assume $\varphi|0\rangle = 0$. Since $|a\rangle$ $(a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0})$ are linearly independent in the Fock space, we have

$$\sum_{b,c} (-q)^c \kappa_{a,b,c,0} = 0 \quad \text{for every } a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}. \tag{6.2.3}$$

Let us compute $\langle S_1\varphi, S(Y)\rangle$. From now on, we denote $v_{i-1} = v_{i-1}^{(1)}$ and $u_{j-1} = u_{j-1}^{(1)}$ for simplicity. By Proposition 2.3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&S_1\big(t_{2,2}^a t_{1,2}^b t_{2,1}^c t_{1,1}^d\big) \\
&\quad = \Phi\Big(\big(v_1^{\otimes a} \otimes v_0^{\otimes b} \otimes v_1^{\otimes c} \otimes v_0^{\otimes d}\big) \otimes S_1\big(u_1^{\otimes a} \otimes u_1^{\otimes b} \otimes u_0^{\otimes c} \otimes u_0^{\otimes d}\big)\Big) \\
&\quad = \Phi\Big(\big(v_1^{\otimes a} \otimes v_0^{\otimes b} \otimes v_1^{\otimes c} \otimes v_0^{\otimes d}\big) \otimes \Big((S_1u_1)^{\otimes a} \otimes (S_1u_1)^{\otimes b} \otimes (S_1u_0)^{\otimes c} \otimes (S_1u_0)^{\otimes d}\Big)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.2.1, we have $S_1u_1 = u_0$ and $S_1u_0 = -qu_1$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{the right hand side}\\ &\quad= (-q)^{c+d}\Phi\Big(\big(v_1^{\otimes a}\otimes v_0^{\otimes b}\otimes v_1^{\otimes c}\otimes v_0^{\otimes d}\big)\otimes\big(u_0^{\otimes a}\otimes u_0^{\otimes b}\otimes u_1^{\otimes c}\otimes u_1^{\otimes d}\big)\Big)\\ &\quad= (-q)^{c+d}\Phi\big(v_1^{\otimes a}\otimes u_0^{\otimes a}\big)\Phi\big(v_0^{\otimes b}\otimes u_0^{\otimes b}\big)\Phi\big(v_1^{\otimes c}\otimes u_1^{\otimes c}\big)\Phi\big(v_0^{\otimes d}\otimes u_1^{\otimes d}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\langle S_1\big(t_{2,2}^a t_{1,2}^b t_{2,1}^c t_{1,1}^d\big), S(Y)\big\rangle\\ &\quad= (-q)^{c+d}\sum\big\langle\Phi\big(v_1^{\otimes a}\otimes u_0^{\otimes a}\big), S(Y_{(4)})\big\rangle\big\langle\Phi\big(v_0^{\otimes b}\otimes u_0^{\otimes b}\big), S(Y_{(3)})\big\rangle\\ &\qquad\qquad\times\big\langle\Phi\big(v_1^{\otimes c}\otimes u_1^{\otimes c}\big), S(Y_{(2)})\big\rangle\big\langle\Phi\big(v_0^{\otimes d}\otimes u_1^{\otimes d}\big), S(Y_{(1)})\big\rangle\\ &\quad= (-q)^{c+d}\sum\big\langle t_{2,1}^a, S(Y_{(4)})\big\rangle\big\langle v_0^{\otimes b}S(Y_{(3)}), u_0^{\otimes a}\big\rangle\big\langle v_1^{\otimes c}, S(Y_{(2)})u_1^{\otimes c}\big\rangle\big\langle v_0^{\otimes d}, S(Y_{(1)})u_1^{\otimes d}\big\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Since $u_1^{\otimes d}$ is a lowest weight vector of a left module, $S(Y_{(1)})u_1^{\otimes d} = 0$ unless $\varepsilon(Y_{(1)}) = 0$. By the same reason, $S(Y_{(2)})u_1^{\otimes c} = 0$ unless $\varepsilon(Y_{(2)}) = 0$. In addition, since $v_0^{\otimes b}$ is a highest weight vector of a right module, $v_0^{\otimes b}S(Y_{(3)}) = 0$ unless $\varepsilon(Y_{(3)}) = 0$. By the definition of the coproduct of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\big\langle S_1\big(t_{2,2}^a t_{1,2}^b t_{2,1}^c t_{1,1}^d\big), S(Y)\big\rangle &= (-q)^{c+d}\big\langle t_{2,1}^a, S(Y)\big\rangle\big\langle v_0^{\otimes b}, u_0^{\otimes a}\big\rangle\big\langle v_1^{\otimes c}, u_1^{\otimes c}\big\rangle\big\langle v_0^{\otimes d}, u_1^{\otimes d}\big\rangle\\ &= (-q)^{c+d}\delta_{d,0}\big\langle t_{2,1}^a, S(Y)\big\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}\big\langle S_1\varphi, S(Y)\big\rangle &= \sum_{a,b,c,d}\kappa_{a,b,c,d}(-q)^{c+d}\delta_{d,0}\big\langle t_{2,1}^a, S(Y)\big\rangle\\ &= \sum_a\big\langle t_{2,1}^a, S(Y)\big\rangle\Big(\sum_{b,c}(-q)^{c+d}\kappa_{a,b,c,0}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

By (6.2.3), the right hand side is equal to zero. Thus, we complete the proof. □

6.3. **A proof of Theorem 4.3.2.** Let us return to an arbitrary case.

Lemma 6.3.1. *Let* $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \cdots, i_N)$ *be a reduced word of* w_0*. For* $\varphi \in A_q$*, we have*

$$\big\langle S_{w_0}\varphi, S\big(\mathbf{f}''_{\mathbf{i},-1}(\mathbf{n})\big)\big\rangle = \sum\prod_{k=1}^N\big\langle S_{i_k}\varphi_{(k)}, S\big(f_{i_k}^{n_k}\big)\big\rangle.$$

Here, $\Delta^{(N-1)}(\varphi) = \sum\varphi_{(1)}\otimes\cdots\otimes\varphi_{(N)}$.

Proof. We may assume $\varphi = \Phi(v\otimes u)$ for $v \in V^r(\lambda)$ and $u \in V(\lambda)$. Denote N_λ the dimension of $V(\lambda)$. For $1 \le k \le N-1$, fix bases $\big\{v_l^{(k)}\big\}_{l=1}^{N_\lambda}$ of $V^r(\lambda)$ and $\big\{u_m^{(k)}\big\}_{m=1}^{N_\lambda}$ of $V(\lambda)$ so that $\langle v_l^{(k)}, u_m^{(k)}\rangle = \delta_{l,m}$. By the definition of the coproduct in A_q, we have

$$\Delta^{(N-1)}(\varphi) = \sum_{j_1,j_2\cdots,j_{N-1}}\Phi\big(v\otimes u_{j_1}^{(1)}\big)\otimes\Phi\big(v_{j_1}^{(1)}\otimes u_{j_2}^{(2)}\big)\otimes\cdots\otimes\Phi\big(v_{j_{N-1}}^{(N-1)}\otimes u\big).$$

Set $y_k := s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k}$ $(1 \le k \le N-1)$. Then, $\{v_l^{(k)} S_{y_k}^{-1}\}_{l=1}^{N_\lambda}$ and $\{S_{y_k} u_m^{(k)}\}_{m=1}^{N_\lambda}$ are bases of $V^r(\lambda)$ and $V(\lambda)$, and they are dual to each other. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta^{(N-1)}\big(S_{w_0}\varphi\big) \\
&\quad = \Delta^{(N-1)}\big(\Phi(v \otimes S_{w_0} u)\big) \\
&\quad = \sum_{j_1,\cdots,j_{N-1}} \Phi\big(v \otimes S_{y_1} u_{j_1}^{(1)}\big) \otimes \Phi\big(v_{j_1}^{(1)} S_{y_1}^{-1} \otimes S_{y_2} u_{j_2}^{(2)}\big) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Phi\big(v_{j_{N-1}}^{(N-1)} S_{y_{N-1}}^{-1} \otimes S_{w_0} u\big).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $S \circ T''_{i,-1} = T''_{i,1} \circ S$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S\big(\mathbf{f}''_{\mathbf{i},-1}(\mathbf{n})\big) &= S\Big(\big(\mathbf{f}''_{\beta_N,-1}\big)^{n_N} \cdots \big(\mathbf{f}''_{\beta_2,-1}\big)^{n_2} \big(\mathbf{f}''_{\beta_1,-1}\big)^{n_1}\Big) \\
&= S\big(f_{i_1}^{n_1}\big) S\big(T''_{i_1,-1}\big(f_{i_2}^{n_2}\big)\big) \cdots S\big(T''_{i_1,-1} \cdots T''_{i_{N-1},-1}\big(f_{i_N}^{n_N}\big)\big) \\
&= S\big(f_{i_1}^{n_1}\big) T''_{i_1,1}\big(S\big(f_{i_2}^{n_2}\big)\big) \cdots T''_{i_1,1} \cdots T''_{i_{N-1},1}\big(S\big(f_{i_N}^{n_N}\big)\big) \\
&= S\big(f_{i_1}^{n_1}\big) T''_{y_1,1}\big(S\big(f_{i_2}^{n_2}\big)\big) \cdots T''_{y_{N-1},1}\big(S\big(f_{i_N}^{n_N}\big)\big)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining these computations, we have

$$\big\langle S_{w_0}\varphi, S\big(\mathbf{f}''_{\mathbf{i},-1}(\mathbf{n})\big)\big\rangle = \sum_{j_1,\cdots,j_{N-1}} C_1 C_2 \cdots C_N,$$

where

$$C_k := \Big\langle \Phi\big(v_{j_{k-1}}^{(k-1)} S_{y_{k-1}}^{-1} \otimes S_{y_k} u_{j_k}^{(k)}\big), T''_{y_{k-1},1}\big(S\big(f_{i_k}^{n_k}\big)\big)\Big\rangle \quad \text{for } 1 \le k \le N.$$

Here we set $v_{j_0}^{(0)} := v, S_{y_0} := 1, u_{j_N}^{(N)} := u$ and $S_{y_N} := S_{w_0}$ for convention. Since $T''_{w,1}(X) = S_w X S_w^{-1}$ for every $w \in W$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C_k &= \Big\langle v_{j_{k-1}}^{(k-1)} S_{y_{k-1}}^{-1} S_{y_{k-1}} S\big(f_{i_k}^{n_k}\big), S_{y_k} u_{j_k}^{(k)}\Big\rangle \\
&= \Big\langle v_{j_{k-1}}^{(k-1)} S\big(f_{i_k}^{n_k}\big), S_{i_k} u_{j_k}^{(k)}\Big\rangle \\
&= \Big\langle S_{i_k} \Phi\big(v_{j_{k-1}}^{(k-1)} \otimes u_{j_k}^{(k)}\big), S\big(f_{i_k}^{n_k}\big)\Big\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\big\langle S_{w_0}\varphi, S\big(\mathbf{f}''_{\mathbf{i},-1}(\mathbf{n})\big)\big\rangle = \sum_{j_1,\cdots,j_{N-1}} \prod_{k=1}^{N} \Big\langle S_{i_k} \Phi\big(v_{j_{k-1}}^{(k-1)} \otimes u_{j_k}^{(k)}\big), S\big(f_{i_k}^{n_k}\big)\Big\rangle$$

as desired. □

Lemma 6.3.2. *For each $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$, there exists $\varphi \in A_q^+$ such that*

$$\mathbf{u} = \varphi|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} \quad \textit{and} \quad \langle \mathbf{u}, Y\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = \langle \eta(\varphi), S(Y)\rangle.$$

Proof. Write $\mathbf{u} = \psi|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}$ for $\psi \in B_q^{++}$. Since $B_q^{++} \subset B_q^+ = (A_q^+)_{\mathcal{S}^+}$, ψ is written as $\sum_j \varphi_j \sigma_{\lambda_j}^{-1}$ where $\varphi_j \in A_q^+, \lambda_j \in P^+$. Set $\varphi := \sum_j \varphi_j \in A_q^+$. We have

$$\mathbf{u} = \sum_j \varphi_j \sigma_{\lambda_j}^{-1} |\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = \sum_j \varphi_j |\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = \varphi|\mathbf{0}\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathbf{u}, Y\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} &= \langle \widetilde{\eta}(\psi), S(Y)\rangle = \sum_j \langle \eta(\varphi_j)\phi_{-\lambda_j}, S(Y)\rangle \\ &= \sum_j \sum \langle \eta(\varphi_j), S(Y_{(2)})\rangle \langle \phi_{-\lambda_j}, S(Y_{(1)})\rangle = \sum_j \langle \eta(\varphi_j), S(Y)\rangle \\ &= \langle \eta(\varphi), S(Y)\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the lemma is obtained. □

Under the preparations above, let us prove Theorem 4.3.2.

Proof of Theorem 4.3.2. Since $|\mathbf{m}\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = |m_1\rangle\rangle_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes |m_N\rangle\rangle_{i_N} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$, there exists a unique $\psi_{\mathbf{m}} \in B_q^{++}$ such that $|\mathbf{m}\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = \psi_{\mathbf{m}}|\mathbf{0}\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}$. By Lemma 6.3.2, there exists $\varphi_{\mathbf{m}} \in A_q^+$ such that

$$|\mathbf{m}\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = \varphi_{\mathbf{m}}|\mathbf{0}\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} \quad \text{and} \quad \big\langle |\mathbf{m}\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{f}''_{\mathbf{i},-1}(\mathbf{n})\big\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = \big\langle \eta(\varphi_{\mathbf{m}}), S(\mathbf{f}''_{\mathbf{i},-1}(\mathbf{n}))\big\rangle. \tag{6.3.1}$$

By the definition of the action of A_q on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$ and the first formula in (6.3.1), we have

$$|m_1\rangle\rangle_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes |m_N\rangle\rangle_{i_N} = \sum (\varphi_{\mathbf{m}})_{(1)}^{\langle i_1\rangle}|0\rangle\rangle_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes (\varphi_{\mathbf{m}})_{(N)}^{\langle i_N\rangle}|0\rangle\rangle_{i_N}. \tag{6.3.2}$$

Here, $\Delta(\varphi_{\mathbf{m}})^{(N-1)} = \sum (\varphi_{\mathbf{m}})_{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes (\varphi_{\mathbf{m}})_{(N)}$, and $(\varphi_{\mathbf{m}})_{(k)}^{\langle i_k\rangle}$ is the image of $(\varphi_{\mathbf{m}})_{(k)} \in A_q$ through the canonical surjection $(\iota^{\langle i_k\rangle})^* : A_q \to A_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,i_k})$ for $1 \le k \le N$.

By the definition of η and Lemma 6.3.1, we have

$$\big\langle \eta(\varphi_{\mathbf{m}}), S(\mathbf{f}''_{\mathbf{i},-1}(\mathbf{n}))\big\rangle = \big\langle S_{w_0}\varphi_{\mathbf{m}}, S(\mathbf{f}''_{\mathbf{i},-1}(\mathbf{n}))\big\rangle = \sum \prod_{k=1}^{N} \big\langle S_{i_k}(\varphi_{\mathbf{m}})_{(k)}, S\big(f_{i_k}^{n_k}\big)\big\rangle.$$

Since $S\big(f_{i_k}^{n_k}\big) \in U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,i_k})$, we have

$$\big\langle S_{i_k}(\varphi_{\mathbf{m}})_{(k)}, S\big(f_{i_k}^{n_k}\big)\big\rangle = \big\langle S_{i_k}(\varphi_{\mathbf{m}})_{(k)}^{\langle i_k\rangle}, S\big(f_{i_k}^{n_k}\big)\big\rangle = \big\langle (\varphi_{\mathbf{m}})_{(k)}^{\langle i_k\rangle}|0\rangle_{i_k}, f_{i_k}\big\rangle_{i_k}.$$

Here we use Corollary 6.2.3 for the second equality. Hence, by the second formula in (6.3.1), we have

$$\big\langle |\mathbf{m}\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{f}''_{\mathbf{i},-1}(\mathbf{n})\big\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = \sum \prod_{k=1}^{N} \big\langle (\varphi_{\mathbf{m}})_{(k)}^{\langle i_k\rangle}|0\rangle_{i_k}, f_{i_k}\big\rangle_{i_k}.$$

Furthermore, by (6.3.2) and (6.2.2), we have

$$\text{the right hand side} = \prod_{k=1}^{N} \big\langle |m_k\rangle\rangle_{i_k}, f_{i_k}\big\rangle_{i_k} = \delta_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} \prod_{k=1}^{N} \frac{q_{i_k}^{-m_k(m_k-1)}[m_k]_{i_k}!}{(q_{i_k} - q_{i_k}^{-1})}.$$

Therefore, by Proposition 6.1.2, the following formula is obtained:

$$\big\langle \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{i}}^+(\mathbf{m})|\mathbf{0}\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{f}''_{\mathbf{i},-1}(\mathbf{n})\big\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = \big\langle |\mathbf{m}\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{f}''_{\mathbf{i},-1}(\mathbf{n})\big\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} \quad \text{for every } \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^N.$$

By the perfectness of the bilinear form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathbf{i}}$, we conclude that

$$\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{i}}^+(\mathbf{m})|\mathbf{0}\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = |\mathbf{m}\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}.$$

Thus, the theorem is proved. □

References

[CP] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A guide to quantum groups*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1994.

[D] V. G. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2, (1987), 798-820, AMS.

[J] A. Joseph, *Quantum groups and their primitive ideals*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), Vol. 29, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.

[K1] M. Kashiwara, *Crystallizing the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras*. Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 465-516.

[K2] M. Kashiwara, *Global crystal base of quantum groups*. Duke Math. J. **69** (1993), 455-485.

[KR] A. N. Kirillov and N. Reshetikhin, *q-Weyl group and a multiplicative formula for universal R-matrices*. Comm. Math. Phys. **134** (1990), no. 2, 421-431.

[KOY] A. Kuniba, M. Okado and Y. Yamada, *A common structure in PBW bases of the nilpotent subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and quantized algebra of functions*. SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **9** (2013), Paper 049, 23 pp.

[KS] L. I. Korogodski and Y. S. Soibelman, *Algebras of functions on quantum groups: Part I*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs Vol. **56**, AMS, 1998.

[L] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to Quantum Groups*. Progr. Math. **110**, Birkhäuser, 1993.

[RTF] N. Reshetikhin, L. A. Takhtadzhyan and L. D. Faddeev, *Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras*. Leningrad Math. J. **1** (1990), no. 1, 193-225.

[Sa] Y. Saito, *PBW basis of quantized universal enveloping algebras*. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **30** (1994), no. 2, 209-232.

[S] Y. S. Soibelman, *Algebra of functions on a compact quantum group and its representations*. Leningrad Math. J. **2** (1991), 161-178.

[T1] T. Tanisaki, *Killing forms, Harish-Chandra isomorphisms, and universal R-matrices for quantum algebras*. Infinite analysis, Part A, B (Kyoto, 1991), 941-961, Adv. Ser. Math. Phys., 16, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1992.

[T2] T. Tanisaki, *Modules ober quantized coordinate algebras and PBW-bases*. arXiv.math: 1409.7973.

[VS] L. L. Vaksman and Y. S. Soibelman, *An algebra of functions on the quantum group $SU(2)$*. Funct. Anal. Appl. **22** (1988), no. 3, 170-181.

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan.

E-mail address: yosihisa@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp